The Philanthropist.

PUBLISHED BY THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE OHIO STATE ANTI-SLAVERY SOCIETY.

We are verily guilty concerning our brother * * * * * therefore is this distress come upon us.

GAMALIEL BAILEY, Jr., Editor. SAMUEL A. ALLEY, Printer.

VOLUME II. NO. 20. NEW SERIES. CINCINNATI, TUESDAY, JUNE 18, 1839. WHOLE NO. 171.

THE PHILANTHROPIST,

PUBLISHED WEEKLY BY THE ANTI-SLAVERY SOCIETY

Main st., between 4th & 5th, East side.

CINCINNATI, OHIO.

JAMES BOYLE, Publishing Agent.

TERMS.—Two Dollars and fifty cents in advance.—Three Dollars if not paid till the expiration of the year. Letters on business should be directed to the *Publishing Agent*—those relating to the editorial department, to the EDITOR. *No subscription taken for a less period than six months. All subscriptions are to be paid in advance—no exceptions made except at the discretion of the Editor, and in case of removal to other places, payment must be made before the paper will be forwarded. All letters and communications must be post paid.*

Terms of Advertising.

For one square of 16 lines, or less, one insertion,		$ 0 52
" "	each additional insertion,	0 38
" "	with alterations,	5 00
" "	for 6 months, without alteration	8 00
" "	" 1 year	10 00

A discount of 20 per. cent. on all advertisements occupying one half, two-thirds or an entire column.

LETTER OF JOHN QUINCY ADAMS,

QUINEY, May 21, 1839.

FELLOW-CITIZENS: In a preceding letter, published in the National Intelligencer of 23d April last, I informed you of the manner in which the duty had been discharged of presenting to the House of Representatives the petitions to that body, which it had been your pleasure to commit to my care.

Of the *duty* of the House to *receive*, to *hear*, to *consider*, and to *answer* those petitions, memorials and remonstrances, and to act upon them as they in my own duty to present them, I had no doubt, and the action of the House upon them, from the moment with which they were entrusted by my hands.

The majority of the House, however, were of a different opinion, and, following example which had been set by the majorities, in the session immediately preceding, and by themselves at the beginning of the present session, they resolved to *receive*, but to lay all petitions on the table, without reading, without printing, without debate, and without any manner of consideration, by a summary vote, upon every petition, memorial, resolution, proposition, or paper touching the subject or relating to slavery in the United States, or the abolition thereof.

This resolution, you perceive, is not merely a general interdict of petitions and memorials from the People, but of petitions, resolutions, propositions, or papers, whether presented by members of the House itself, or coming from lawful assemblies of the People, or from the legislature of the States. Before it is precisely applied, in result as if the House of Representatives had prohibited all its members from ever offering a resolution or addressing the Speaker upon any subject relating to slavery or the slave trade. It is equivalent to a prohibition to the People to assemble together to discuss the merits and demerits of slavery and the slave-trade. It is in the result precisely the same as if the House should send a guard of soldiers to close the doors of every Legislative Hall in the Union and to prohibit, under the rights of man, the self-evident truths of the Declaration of Independence, slavery, the slave-trade, and its abolition. At every passage of this resolution I have felt deep indignation—felt for deep, for humiliation, and I have felt indignant at the suppression of my right as a member of the House—of the right of my constituents to use the privilege of freemen to assemble together and to deliberate upon freedom and slavery—of the right of the Legislature of my native State, and of every other State of the Legislature of my native State, and of every other State of the Union expressing their detestation and that of their constituents of slavery in all its forms. But the deep humiliation that I have felt was as an American citizen. It was the consciousness of the degradation brought upon the whole character among the nations of the earth, as the first proclaimers of the inalienable freedom of the human race. The ignominious transmission of the People who had commenced their career in the new world by the Declaration of Independence, into a nation of slave-traders and slave-breeders, for sale, was a contemplation mortifying beyond endurance.

I considered the institution of domestic slavery existing in the States of the Union, with the evils entailed upon them in their colonial condition, which, at the time of the Revolution, they considered in that light themselves. It was, however, a part of their internal organization, over which the Congress of the Revolution had no constitutional control. And while so long as it could be maintained in peace, was reserved for the exclusive legislation of the several States. There was obviously a gross inconsistency between the principles proclaimed in the Declaration of Independence, and the practice of holding human beings in perpetual and hereditary bondage; and the supreme judicial tribunal of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts had decided, even before the conclusion of the Revolutionary war, that the principles of the Declaration of Independence, repeated in the Declaration of Rights prefixed to the Constitution of the State, had *ipso facto* abolished all slavery within the State.

During the war, and for several years after, slaves were considered as very unprofitable property. . . When the constitution of the United States was adopted, the people of the free States were anxiously desirous of prohibiting the importation of negroes from Africa. South Carolina and Georgia were, however, not prepared for that act; and a compromise was effected, by which a term of twenty years was allowed for procuring a supply of the article, and the trade was prohibited in 1808.

But in the mean time, cotton had become a staple article of our exportation, and some years after the Colonization Society was instituted.

Human foresight is sometimes strangely at fault in devising expedients for improving the condition of mankind. The African slave-trade was if not introduced, countenanced and recommended by LAS CASAS, one of the most amiable and benevolent of mankind, to save the Indian race from utter extermination.

When the Congress of the Confederation, in the same year (1787) in which the convention at Philadelphia sent for the ratification of the People the Constitution of the United States, enacted an ordinance for the government of the Northwestern Territory, that there should be neither slavery nor involuntary servitude within it, otherwise than for the punishment of crimes; and when the power to prohibit the African slave-trade was so universally understood and understood to be delegated to Congress by the general power to regulate commerce with foreign nations and among the several States, that no express provision was inserted in the Constitution restraining them from the exercise of that power for twenty years, although it was known that the day would come when, within these United States, public men, ambitious of a name and aspirants to popular favor, would befound to eulogize slavery into a blessing, and to denounce the signers of the Declaration of Independence as men with deliberate falsehood and perjury, with treason in their country and blasphemy to God. Yet so it is. If the principles proclaimed in the Declaration of Independence as self-evident truths *are not true*; if it be not true that all men are created equal; if they are *not* endowed by their creator with inalienable rights, among which are life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness; if Governments are *not* instituted to secure these rights, and do not derive their *just powers* from the *consent* of the governed—then the People of the United Colonies had no right to renounce their allegiance to the Government of Great Britain—no right to declare themselves independent—no *right* to take their separate and equal station among the Powers of the earth. In assuming that station, they declare themselves entitled to it by the laws of Nature's God. By the laws of that same God they had been bound in allegiance to their king. To this tie of allegiance, binding upon them from their birth, multitudes of them had superadded the obligations of solemn and voluntary oaths. If no could absolve them from these sacred pledges of their faith! If no but that people themselves! And for what cause but that the Government itself had forfeited the right to their allegiance by perverting its power to the destruction of the ends for which Governments are instituted. Take away from the Declaration of Independence its self-evident truths, the natural and inalienable rights of man, and you rob the North American Revolution of all its moral principle, and proclaim it a foul and unnatural rebellion.

In the days of the Declaration of Independence there were politicians, sy, and moralists—profound, conscientious moralists—who maintained that doctrine; but they were not *American* patriots, statesmen or jurists. North and Mansfield, the prime minister and the chief dispenser of the justice of the realm of England, verily believed that the people of the colonies were *rebels* and *traitors*, and were prepared to hang, draw, quarter, and embowel them, to prove the sincerity of their faith. Nor were they alone. Junius, the great unknown—Junius, the grave, the didactic, the sublime champion of *English* liberty, utterly repudiating the doctrine of Locke and modern English lawyer's creed of the *omnipotence* of Parliament, still formally disallowed the concession of Chatham that the legislative power of Parliament was not the same over the colonies as over the island of Britain. Dr. Samuel Johnston, the most renowned moralist of the age, could earn his pension of three hundred pounds a year by proving, in sesquipedalian prose, that taxation without representation was no tyranny. And Isaac Jenyns, the philosopher and poet, the ingenious author of the Internal Evidences of Christianity, to whom Paley is so deeply indebted—Soame Jenyns, the poet and metaphysician, the profound discourser upon the nature of time and the origin of evil, and the elegant translator of the Latin poem of Browne on the Immortality of the Soul, not only considered the *right* and *expediency* of taxing the colonies by Parliament indisputably clear; but, in a disquisition on government and civil liberty, has so completely undermined the foundations of the Revolution of the present day in their warfare against the self-evident truths of the Declaration of independence, that their omission to give him credit for their arguments leaves them not entirely free from the imputation of plagiarism.

In estimating the opinions of others upon politics, religion, and morals, while we are bound to follow exclusively the dictates of our own conscience, governed by the rule of the set and faithful arbiter of both after truth, we must make large allowances and exercise a liberal spirit of toleration. In the *moral* question of the North American Revolution, the principal assertors have been on the British side: we can many source of all the arguments on the American side *contained* in the speeches of Burke on the American side were all drawn from *elementary right*. When the basement-story of the edifice is laid, the superstructure naturally rises upon corresponding principles of architecture. The framers of the Declaration of Independence *could* justify themselves and their country—first for their resistance against oppression; and finally, for undertaking and accomplishing the Revolution upon no other principles than those which they declared. If their principles were unsound, A-merican Independence was nothing more than successful rebellion.

I adhere to the ethics of the Revolution. The self-evident truths of the Declaration of Independence are the inherent truths, which were reiterated by the Chancellor of the British Exchequer or by a professor at the college of William and Mary; whether clashing with the law of a Chief Justice of the Court of King's Bench, or with the equity of a Chancellor of the State of South Carolina.

That the Lords NORTH and MANSFIELD, and the vast majority of their contemporary countrymen, were sincere in the belief that they had a constitutional right, and that it was their duty, to subjugate and demolish the three hundred thousand Gods of the Roman empire. Far less did he avow that it was to emancipate his country from tributary subjection to the Roman Emperor, On the contrary, through all his controversial writings, he avowed the sincere belief that in exact tribute from him, he performed a miracle to pay the tribute for himself and his first Apostle; and when asked, for the express purpose of entangling him, whether it was lawful to pay tribute to Cæsar, he avoided the snare by an indirect and indecisive answer. He disclaimed all intention of destroying the ritual of the Levitical law, and left it as a matter of grave controversy between its apologist disciples that the abolition of that law was expressly disclosed in a vision to Peter.

But although the kingdom of Christ was not of this world, and although Christ himself cautiously avoided all interference with the political institutions, religious or political, it was distinctly foreseen, even during his life, and still more clearly immediately after his death, that the Mosaic law, the Roman worship of idols, and the Roman dominion over tributary provinces were eventually to be abolished by the prevalence of Christianity. And whoever faithfully studies the Christian system as a code of religion and morals, and exercises in reflection upon it the intellectual faculty bestowed upon him by his Maker, cannot possibly avoid coming to the conclusion that all violence, tyranny, and oppression, all exercise of unjust power by man over man, must ultimately fall before it. In the book of futurity, therefore, it is written as clearly as the Gospel of Christ, that war and slavery shall cease to exist upon earth; that nation shall no more rise against nation, neither shall there be was any more. But of the period of time within which this revolution in the history of mankind, and this purification of the human character, is to be consummated, we have no distinct revelation, nor is human reason competent to foresee. Thus hundreds and perhaps thousands of years must elapse in the progress of the improvement in the condition of the moral and rational tenant of the terrestrial globe, there cannot be a doubt, nor is it less clear that the principles proclaimed in the Declaration of Independence as self-evident truths, to be carried into practical execution by all human Governments, are among the most effective means by which this progress is to be completed.

That the glaring inconsistency between the institutions of domestic hereditary slavery and the principles of equal and inalienable right with which it was one of the errors and infirmities of man, which would most speedily be made to vanish from the American code of legislation, was universally expected. In thirteen States of the Union slavery has been gradually abolished. In seven more, until very recently, the friends of freedom have cherished the sanguine hope and expectation that this curse would be banished from their borders; but, in the mysterious ways of Providence, while, in the progress of time, slavery has been thence, while, in the progress of time, slavery has been receiving more and more odious; while all the civilized nations of the earth have been combining to exterminate the African slave-trade, and to class it with the detestable crime of piracy, here in our own country the spirit of the wounded serpent has turned upon her pursuers, and not only thrusts out her deadly fang in self-defence, but threatens with her moral venom to contaminate us all.

In seeking for the source of this monster retrogression in the annals of our Southern Confederates, it is painful to observe that it must be traced to three causes, from which far other consequences might be hoped, and were anticipated. They were, 1. The cession of that State of cottton cultivated. They were, 2. The prohibition of the African slave-trade; and 3. The institution of the Colonization Society.—The consequence of these three incidents has been, by one and the same operation, greatly to increase the pecuniary value of slaves as property, and to aggravate the cruelty of holding them in bondage. The cultivation of cotton has given a double or treble value to their labor; and as, by the laws of Nature and of Nature's God, that labor is their own, the cruelty and oppression of depriving them of it, and of appropriating it to other men, is proportionally aggravated. The prohibition of the importation of slaves from Africa, combining with this increased value of slave labor, has given to the domestic producer of the living article of merchandise all the benefits of a monopoly; which the Colonization Society has still further promoted by reducing the number of the living chattels, and thereby increasing the demand for them in the market.

I wish to take no part in the controversies between the Abolition and Colonization Societies, all of which I believe to have been formed under the influence of laudable motives, and all to pronounce the ultimate emancipation of the slaves in the Union. I believe the plans hitherto proposed by them *all*, so far as regards the abolition of slavery, utterly impracticable; and I believe them all equally liable, *at this time*, to the charge of permanently increasing in the pursuit of objects obviously and notoriously impracticable.

I will not undertake dogmatically to affirm that colonies of civilized colored men cannot be established and made to flourish on the coast of Africa; nor am I prepared to analyze and weigh the influence which they may hereafter be destined to exercise in civilizing the native land of slavery itself. So far as these may be the objects of the Colonization Societies, they have my fervent good wishes, though very little of my hopes. But for the emancipation of slaves or the abolition of slavery in the United States, the search for the philosopher's stone, or the casting of native gold, would be as rational and as successful as attempts to accomplish them in comparison with the serious undertakings of the Colonization Society.

With a high and sincere respect for many of the leading members of that Society, a grave objection to which it has in my mind been always liable is that of wearing a double face. Composed of distinguished colored men from and from the slaveholding States, it is commended to the patronage of the North and of the South upon totally different and indeed opposite principles. At the North it has been and is stated in an abolition society. The Southern Africa of emancipated slaves is the argument in the North to the humanity of the benevolent and the liberality of the rich. To remove from the plantation slave the dangerous and disaffected neighborhood of the free colored man is the favorite argument for Colonization at the South. There is in this an appearance of duplicity, the more unfavorably prepossessing candid minds, inasmuch as experience hitherto countenances the conclusion that the Southern force sight the more of worldly wisdom on this occasion than that of the North.

Other and recent circumstances, little noticed hitherto by the Public, have raised new questions with regard to the public opinion of five fifths of the nation, without undertakings of the Colonization Society, which must be of vitally attaching value importance to them, have bearings of very serious aspect upon the principles of our Government. In the course of the last year the American Colonization Society has been reinstituted under a new organization, and its projectors have, without any self-assumed authority, to constitute a *Republic of Liberia*, and to confer upon the people of that Republic the sovereign power of declaring war, concluding peace, and regulating commerce, with legislative, executive, and judicial departments of Government, all subject to the absolute and arbitrary control of the Directors of the American Colonization Society. And will you believe, that when, during the late session of Congress, I was about to present this enormous assumption of power by a private association of American citizens, I was called to order, and not permitted to proceed, by the arbitrary interdict of the Chair, because, forsooth, it was not *relevant* to the question whether the Republic of Liberia was to be recognised as a free and independent State! A sovereign, black Republic of Liberia, under the protection and control of the American Colonization Society! Mr. Van Buren has been seriously censured for denouncing, in his last annual Message to Congress, the anti-republican tendencies of associated *wealth*. If the imputation of anti-republican tendencies had been extended to *all* partial associations, it would have been more just and less unpopular.

For what is the Republic itself but *associated wealth?* The very name of Republic is compounded of two Latin words, RES PUBLICA, signifying the associated wealth of the People; and the word Commonwealth is but the literal Anglo-Saxon version of the same elements. The Republic, the Commonwealth, and associated wealth, are terms precisely synonymous, and to charge associated wealth, with anti-republican tendencies is to charge the whole institution with anti-republican tendencies. The very derivation of this compound word, both in the Latin and English languages proves that the institution of civil society is identical with associated wealth, as its great purposes are to protect and secure the rights of property as well as of persons.

But as the Republic itself is one great community of associated wealth, it may be said with strict propriety, and all they were proclaimed in the Declaration of Independence. But the Christian system of morals, while disclosing as eternal truth the natural equality of mankind, left all its practical consequences in their effect upon existing institutions to the slow and gradual process of the human intellect. The Kingdom of Heaven was the name by which Jesus Christ announced his system of religion and morality to the world; but he said it was a kingdom not of this world; he did not say that he was to abolish the three hundred thousand Gods of the Roman empire. Far less did he avow that it was to emancipate his country from tributary subjection to the Roman Emperor, On the contrary, through all his controversial writings, he avowed the sincere belief that in exact tribute from him, he performed a miracle to pay the tribute for himself and his first Apostle; and when asked, for the express purpose of entangling him, whether it was lawful to pay tribute to Cæsar, he avoided the snare by an indirect and indecisive answer. He disclaimed all intention of destroying the ritual of the Levitical law, and left it as a matter of grave controversy between its apologist disciples that the abolition of that law was expressly disclosed in a vision to Peter.

But although the kingdom of Christ was not of this world, and although Christ himself cautiously avoided all interference with the political institutions, religious or political, it was distinctly foreseen, even during his life, and still more clearly immediately after his death, that the Mosaic law, the Roman worship of idols, and the Roman dominion over tributary provinces were eventually to be abolished by the prevalence of Christianity. And whoever faithfully studies the Christian system as a code of religion and morals, and exercises in reflection upon it the intellectual faculty bestowed upon him by his Maker, cannot possibly avoid coming to the conclusion that all violence, tyranny, and oppression, all exercise of unjust power by man over man, must ultimately fall before it. In the book of futurity, therefore, it is written as clearly as the Gospel of Christ, that war and slavery shall cease to exist upon earth; that nation shall no more rise against nation, neither shall there be was any more. But of the period of time within which this revolution in the history of mankind, and this purification of the human character, is to be consummated, we have no distinct revelation, nor is human reason competent to foresee. Thus hundreds and perhaps thousands of years must elapse in the progress of the improvement in the condition of the moral and rational tenant of the terrestrial globe, there cannot be a doubt, nor is it less clear that the principles proclaimed in the Declaration of Independence as self-evident truths, to be carried into practical execution by all human Governments, are among the most effective means by which this progress is to be completed.

That the glaring inconsistency between the institutions of domestic hereditary slavery and the principles of equal and inalienable right with which it was one of the errors and infirmities of man, which would most speedily be made to vanish from the American code of legislation, was universally expected. In thirteen States of the Union slavery has been gradually abolished. In seven more, until very recently, the friends of freedom have cherished the sanguine hope and expectation that this curse would be banished from their borders; but, in the mysterious ways of Providence, while, in the progress of time, slavery has been thence, while, in the progress of time, slavery has been receiving more and more odious; while all the civilized nations of the earth have been combining to exterminate the African slave-trade, and to class it with the detestable crime of piracy, here in our own country the spirit of the wounded serpent has turned upon her pursuers, and not only thrusts out her deadly fang in self-defence, but threatens with her moral venom to contaminate us all.

In seeking for the source of this monster retrogression in the annals of our Southern Confederates, it is painful to observe that it must be traced to three causes, from which far other consequences might be hoped, and were anticipated. They were, 1. The cession of that State of cottton cultivated. They were, 2. The prohibition of the African slave-trade; and 3. The institution of the Colonization Society.—The consequence of these three incidents has been, by one and the same operation, greatly to increase the pecuniary value of slaves as property, and to aggravate the cruelty of holding them in bondage. The cultivation of cotton has given a double or treble value to their labor; and as, by the laws of Nature and of Nature's God, that labor is their own, the cruelty and oppression of depriving them of it, and of appropriating it to other men, is proportionally aggravated. The prohibition of the importation of slaves from Africa, combining with this increased value of slave labor, has given to the domestic producer of the living article of merchandise all the benefits of a monopoly; which the Colonization Society has still further promoted by reducing the number of the living chattels, and thereby increasing the demand for them in the market.

I wish to take no part in the controversies between the Abolition and Colonization Societies, all of which I believe to have been formed under the influence of laudable motives, and all to pronounce the ultimate emancipation of the slaves in the Union. I believe the plans hitherto proposed by them *all*, so far as regards the abolition of slavery, utterly impracticable; and I believe them all equally liable, *at this time*, to the charge of permanently increasing in the pursuit of objects obviously and notoriously impracticable.

I will not undertake dogmatically to affirm that colonies of civilized colored men cannot be established and made to flourish on the coast of Africa; nor am I prepared to analyze and weigh the influence which they may hereafter be destined to exercise in civilizing the native land of slavery itself. So far as these may be the objects of the Colonization Societies, they have my fervent good wishes, though very little of my hopes. But for the emancipation of slaves or the abolition of slavery in the United States, the search for the philosopher's stone, or the casting of native gold, would be as rational and as successful as attempts to accomplish them in comparison with the serious undertakings of the Colonization Society.

With a high and sincere respect for many of the leading Legislative Assembly, the consent of the whole must be inferred from the voice of the representative majority; but when the People are to be bound by the acts and deeds of a legislative assembly wherein they have no representatives, their will must be ascertained by manifestations from themselves. Now it is certain that a great majority of the inhabitants of the States are utterly opposed to the existence of slavery among them by law, and would consider it as an unconstitutional violation of their rights of property. I hold the opinion that one human being cannot be made the property of another. That persons and things are, by the laws of Nature and of Nature's God, so distinct that no human laws can transform either into the other. But this is not the opinion of the people of the District of Columbia, and the enactment of laws in best exclusively upon eight hundred thousand souls, of my immediate constituents, I must be governed by their will and not by my own.

These two reasons—the impracticability of accomplishing by law a measure of transcendent importance against the public opinion of five fifths of the nation, and the injustice of enacting a law against the will of those upon whom it is to bear, and at the will of others upon whom it is not to operate at all—have been, and will continue to be, decisive with me against any proposal in Congress for the *immediate* abolition of slavery in the District of Columbia. Either of them would, if alone, bring me to the same conclusion,

And indeed these have been among the reasons of my anxious desire that your petitions particularly for this measure, should not only be received by the House, but deliberately considered; referred to the Committee for the District of Columbia, and a select committee reported upon, and freely discussed by the House. I have believed, and still believe, that, after such full and free discussion, any bill for *immediate* abolition of slavery in the District of Columbia, should a committee ever report such a bill, would be rejected in the House by a majority of at least four to one; and I have hoped that, if not all, great multitudes of you would, in the result of such discussion, become convinced that the time has not yet come when Justice herself would be satisfied with the *immediate* abolition of slavery in the District of Columbia. Your rights at least would thus be maintained inviolate. I trust that a full consideration by yourselves of the injustice, under all possible circumstances, of legislation over a people without their will, by a majority of whom or people not subject to the law themselves, would deter you from perseverance in a pursuit, your only motives for which are the dispensation of justice to all, and the desire of obedience to the highest station was to be, after four, or at most, after eight years, in the same line.

But now came the fable of the milkmaid's pail. Not one year of General JACKSON's administration had passed away, before Mr. CALHOUN, who, himself involved in a political controversy with the heroic chieftain, for having, some twelve years before, been much inclined to punish him by a military tribunal, for his exploits in the Seminole war. The General had not only contributed to the triumphant election of some of Mr. CALHOUN's old adversaries, but recent associates in the achievement of raising the two South Carolinians to the two highest dignities of the Union. An explosion and a catastrophe followed. Mr. CALHOUN resigned no longer in the line of succession. Mr. Calhoun resigned the office, and came back a Senator from South Carolina, not only an anti-tariffite and strict constructionist, but a nullifier, by South Carolina ordinance. And ever since, he has been at all bills for raising revenue shall originate in that House. It was strictly a bargain of slaveholders, among themselves, in which the industry and the interest of the free portion of the nation were neither consulted nor regarded. And the whole transaction was effected by the most palpable, irreconcilably contrary to the actual rights of mankind.

What could the slaveholder do with his own chalice returned to his own lips! He started back in horror from his daughter, whom he found called with imploring voice upon Sepulveda, and Hobbes, and Sir Robert Filmer, and Dr. Johnson, and Soame Jenyns, for a doctrine of despotism—for a sneer upon the self-evident truths, that all men are free and equal—for a scoff upon the inherent equality of mankind. It maintains that the African is a different and inferior race in the white European, and born and destined by nature to live in subjection under him. You will perceive that this position is the very basis and encouragement to maintain institution of slavery, denies to the colored man the possession of an immortal soul. This must, indeed, necessarily and unavoidably be the foundation of every theory to justify slavery. For if

"The soul, secure in its existence, smiles
At the drawn-dagger, and defies its point,"

the soul of one man can never be made the property of another. It is the soul that constitutes the man; and, by the laws of nature and of nature's God, you cannot make a human being your slave without depriving him of his immortal soul, and degrading him to the level of the grazing ox. The teacher of the new school of William and Mary and his followers tell us that this has been done already; that God—yes, they use the name of God—has made one class of men, yes, they use the name of God—has made one class of men to be masters, and the other to be slaves—one to loll down upon and dream of moral philosophy, the other to be beasts of burden to pamper the idle and worthless existence of their masters. . . . Such are the principles, such the principles its irreconcilable opposition to the principles of Christianity, to do unto others as you would that they should do unto you, its flat contradiction to that express declaration of Divine inspiration, that God has made of one blood all men that dwell on the face of the earth, its reliance upon brutal physical force as the ultimate arbiter of the relation between man and his brother man; I set aside all these considerations, but ask you, fellow-citizens, for one moment to reflect exclusively upon the natural rights of man, and upon the free institutions of that portion of it yet in the enjoyment of freedom.

In the Declaration of Independence, the natural equality of mankind, and the natural rights of man are declared to be self-evident truths; and from these truths are inferred, as equally self-evident, that Governments are instituted among men to *secure* these rights, and that they derive their just powers only from the consent of the governed; and that whenever any form of government becomes destructive of these ends, it is the right of the people to alter or abolish it, and to institute another government to secure the same rights.

Let us waive the question now whether these principles are true or false, whether they are self-evident or controvertible. The signers of the Declaration of Independence, and the people in whose name and by whose authority they spoke, *held them* to be self-evident. So they declare, with an appeal to the Supreme Ruler for their sincerity; and it is upon the basis of these principles, and of them alone, that they declare the People of the thirteen Colonies absolved from their allegiance to the British Crown, released from their duties as subjects of the British Empire, and constituting one people of thirteen united, free and independent States.

Upon these principles their Union had been formed, and was by them declared perpetual. Upon these principles the Constitution of the United States and those of all the separate States have been professedly founded. They have been considered as the immovable and eternal foundation of all our political institutions, and we have gloried in them as the great distinctive principles of the American mind as first introduced under our auspices to the admiration and respect of the world of man. We have all known that there was another theory of human government, founded upon the supposed unlimited and illimitable nature of constituted power—that the issue of the seven years' war of our independence was precisely the conflict between these two theories of government, the theory of human rights and the theory of constituted power—that the cause of Great Britain in that war was staked upon the theory of *power*, and our cause upon the theory of *right*. And when our fathers were tauntingly asked how they could, for seven long years, endure the unutterable miseries of their country under the depredations of a combined civil, foreign and savage war, for a three-penny tax upon tea, they answered, as their Congress, after the close of the contest, on the 24th of April, 1783, had reminded them, "*Let it be remembered, that we have ever been the pride and boast of America, that the principles for which she contended were the rights of human nature.*"

The rights of human nature! Such was the doctrine of 1776, and such the doctrine of 1783; but now, ask President Dew what are the rights of human nature, and he will tell you that slavery was the mother of civilization, Ask Chancellor Harper what are the rights of human nature, and he will tell you that man has a natural aversion to labor, and that he will not work unless you make him a slave.

The point of view in which I call your attention to these doctrines is their open and undisguised apostacy from the principles of the Declaration of Independence. By Chancellor Harper they are directly and explicitly attacked with a long argument from the old Tory school, to prove them "false, sophistical, or unmeaning."

In my last letter I showed you that all the authorities now adduced, as precedents of parliamentary practice to sustain the refusal of Congress to read or consider petitions, were from a rule in the House of Commons, which they refused to receive petitions against the stamp act and the tax. We have now the British arguments of that day, against the principles of the Declaration of Independence, now wrested from the hands of the People, and turned against them to prove the lawfulness of slavery. The inference from which is irresistible, that, in the view of the slaveholders themselves, the principles of the Declaration of Independence are as fatal to the institutions of slavery, as they were to the tyranny of Great Britain over the Colonies. Now the People of all the primitive Southern States were parties to the Declaration of Independence, to the Revolutionary war, to the Constitution of the United States. The Legislature of South Carolina, therefore, in 1821, nominated, four years in advance, Mr. William Lowndes for President of the United States in 1825; and when, with plain common sense, he answered that the Presidency was a station neither to be solicited nor refused, it was sounded and resounded with trumpet tongue, as a prodigious exemplification of disinterestedness and patriotic self-devotion.

Mr. Calhoun and his friends, however, were not satisfied with this station, and just at the close of the same year, 1821, a Congressional counter-nomination of him was projected at Washington, but, after deliberate consideration, postponed as premature. Mr. Lowndes shortly afterwards died, and the Legislature of South Carolina, always alert in the purpose of furnishing a President for the United States, delayed not formally to nominate Mr. Calhoun. This nomination was, indeed, not more successful than that of Mr. Lowndes; but it gave a very early first native of South Carolina, for whom a pledged ticket of electors was chosen by the Legislature of that State in November, 1824, who accordingly voted for Gen. Jackson as President, and Mr. Calhoun as Vice President, of the United States.

The election of Mr. CALHOUN as Vice President then succeeded, and, by a coalition of the Carolina party, under his auspices, with another Southern, or the old Virginia party, that then his most bitter opponents, and the friends of the head of Mr. Wm. H. Crawford, at the election of December, 1828 they seemed to have attained the summits of their ambition by placing natives of South Carolina in both the offices of President and Vice President of the United States, and understanding that the succession to the highest station was to be, after four, or at most, after eight years, in the same line.

But now came the fable of the milkmaid's pail. Not one year of General JACKSON's administration had passed away, before Mr. CALHOUN, who, himself involved in a political controversy with the heroic chieftain, for having, some twelve years before, been much inclined to punish him by a military tribunal, for his exploits in the Seminole war. The General had not only contributed to the triumphant election of some of Mr. CALHOUN's old adversaries, but recent associates in the achievement of raising the two South Carolinians to the two highest dignities of the Union. An explosion and a catastrophe followed. Mr. CALHOUN resigned no longer in the line of succession. Mr. Calhoun resigned the office, and came back a Senator from South Carolina, not only an anti-tariffite and strict constructionist, but a nullifier, by South Carolina ordinance. And ever since, he has been at all bills for raising revenue shall originate in that House. It was strictly a bargain of slaveholders, among themselves, in which the industry and the interest of the free portion of the nation were neither consulted nor regarded. And the whole transaction was effected by the most palpable, irreconcilably contrary to the actual rights of mankind.

What could the slaveholder do with his own chalice returned to his own lips! He started back in horror from his daughter, whom he found called with imploring voice upon Sepulveda, and Hobbes, and Sir Robert Filmer, and Dr. Johnson, and Soame Jenyns, for a doctrine of despotism—for a sneer upon the self-evident truths, that all men are free and equal—for a scoff upon the inherent equality of mankind. It maintains that the African is a different and inferior race in the white European, and born and destined by nature to live in subjection under him. You will perceive that this position is the very basis and encouragement to maintain institution of slavery, denies to the colored man the possession of an immortal soul. This must, indeed, necessarily and unavoidably be the foundation of every theory to justify slavery. For if

"The soul, secure in its existence, smiles
At the drawn-dagger, and defies its point,"

the soul of one man can never be made the property of another. It is the soul that constitutes the man; and, by the laws of nature and of nature's God, you cannot make a human being your slave without depriving him of his immortal soul, and degrading him to the level of the grazing ox. The teacher of the new school of William and Mary and his followers tell us that this has been done already; that God—yes, they use the name of God—has made one class of men, yes, they use the name of God—has made one class of men to be masters, and the other to be slaves—one to loll down upon and dream of moral philosophy, the other to be beasts of burden to pamper the idle and worthless existence of their masters. . . . Such are the principles, such the principles its irreconcilable opposition to the principles of Christianity, to do unto others as you would that they should do unto you, its flat contradiction to that express declaration of Divine inspiration, that God has made of one blood all men that dwell on the face of the earth, its reliance upon brutal physical force as the ultimate arbiter of the relation between man and his brother man; I set aside all these considerations, but ask you, fellow-citizens, for one moment to reflect exclusively upon the natural rights of man, and upon the free institutions of that portion of it yet in the enjoyment of freedom.

In the Declaration of Independence, the natural equality of mankind, and the natural rights of man are declared to be self-evident truths; and from these truths are inferred, as equally self-evident, that Governments are instituted among men to *secure* these rights, and that they derive their just powers only from the consent of the governed; and that whenever any form of government becomes destructive of these ends, it is the right of the people to alter or abolish it, and to institute another government to secure the same rights.

Let us waive the question now whether these principles are true or false, whether they are self-evident or controvertible. The signers of the Declaration of Independence, and the people in whose name and by whose authority they spoke, *held them* to be self-evident. So they declare, with an appeal to the Supreme Ruler for their sincerity; and it is upon the basis of these principles, and of them alone, that they declare the People of the thirteen Colonies absolved from their allegiance to the British Crown, released from their duties as subjects of the British Empire, and constituting one people of thirteen united, free and independent States.

Upon these principles their Union had been formed, and was by them declared perpetual. Upon these principles the Constitution of the United States and those of all the separate States have been professedly founded. They have been considered as the immovable and eternal foundation of all our political institutions, and we have gloried in them as the great distinctive principles of the American mind as first introduced under our auspices to the admiration and respect of the world of man. We have all known that there was another theory of human government, founded upon the supposed unlimited and illimitable nature of constituted power—that the issue of the seven years' war of our independence was precisely the conflict between these two theories of government, the theory of human rights and the theory of constituted power—that the cause of Great Britain in that war was staked upon the theory of *power*, and our cause upon the theory of *right*. And when our fathers were tauntingly asked how they could, for seven long years, endure the unutterable miseries of their country under the depredations of a combined civil, foreign and savage war, for a three-penny tax upon tea, they answered, as their Congress after the close of the contest, on the 24th of April, 1783, had reminded them, "*Let it be remembered, that we have ever been the pride and boast of America, that the principles for which she contended were the rights of human nature.*"

The rights of human nature! Such was the doctrine of 1776, and such the doctrine of 1783; but now, ask President Dew what are the rights of human nature, and he will tell you that slavery was the mother of civilization, Ask Chancellor Harper what are the rights of human nature, and he will tell you that man has a natural aversion to labor, and that he will not work unless you make him a slave.

The point of view in which I call your attention to these doctrines is their open and undisguised apostacy from the principles of the Declaration of Independence. By Chancellor Harper they are directly and explicitly attacked with a long argument from the old Tory school, to prove them "false, sophistical, or unmeaning."

In my last letter I showed you that all the authorities now adduced, as precedents of parliamentary practice to sustain the refusal of Congress to read or consider petitions, were from a rule in the House of Commons, which they refused to receive petitions against the stamp act and the tax. We have now the British arguments of that day, against the principles of the Declaration of Independence, now wrested from the hands of the People, and turned against them to prove the lawfulness of slavery. The inference from which is irresistible, that, in the view of the slaveholders themselves, the principles of the Declaration of Independence are as fatal to the institutions of slavery, as they were to the tyranny of Great Britain over the Colonies. Now the People of all the primitive Southern States were parties to the Declaration of Independence, to the Revolutionary war, to the Constitution of the United States. The Legislature of South Carolina, therefore, in 1821, nominated, four years in advance, Mr. William Lowndes for President of the United States in 1825; and when, with plain common sense, he answered that the Presidency was a station neither to be solicited nor refused, it was sounded and resounded with trumpet tongue, as a prodigious exemplification of disinterestedness and patriotic self-devotion.

Mr. Calhoun and his friends, however, were not satisfied with this station, and just at the close of the same year, 1821, a Congressional counter-nomination of him was projected at Washington, but, after deliberate consideration, postponed as premature. Mr. Lowndes shortly afterwards died, and the Legislature of South Carolina, always alert in the purpose of furnishing a President for the United States, delayed not formally to nominate Mr. Calhoun. This nomination was, indeed, not more successful than that of Mr. Lowndes; but it gave a very early first native of South Carolina, for whom a pledged ticket of electors was chosen by the Legislature of that State in November, 1824, who accordingly voted for Gen. Jackson as President, and Mr. Calhoun as Vice President, of the United States.

The election of Mr. CALHOUN as Vice President then succeeded, and, by a coalition of the Carolina party, under his auspices, with another Southern, or the old Virginia party, that then his most bitter opponents, and the friends of the head of Mr. Wm. H. Crawford, at the election of December, 1828 they seemed to have attained the summits of their ambition by placing natives of South Carolina in both the offices of President and Vice President of the United States, and understanding that the succession to the highest station was to be, after four, or at most, after eight years, in the same line.

But now came the fable of the milkmaid's pail. Not one year of General JACKSON's administration had passed away, before Mr. CALHOUN, who, himself involved in a political controversy with the heroic chieftain, for having, some twelve years before, been much inclined to punish him by a military tribunal, for his exploits in the Seminole war. The General had not only contributed to the triumphant election of some of Mr. CALHOUN's old adversaries, but recent associates in the achievement of raising the two South Carolinians to the two highest dignities of the Union. An explosion and a catastrophe followed. Mr. CALHOUN resigned no longer in the line of succession. Mr. Calhoun resigned the office, and came back a Senator from South Carolina, not only an anti-tariffite and strict constructionist, but a nullifier, by South Carolina ordinance. And ever since, he has been at all bills for raising revenue shall originate in that House. It was strictly a bargain of slaveholders, among themselves, in which the industry and the interest of the free portion of the nation were neither consulted n

tels!—and which of the scales of the balance, think you, will kick the beam?

I would do no injustice to the ancient and honorable Commonwealth of Virginia. I know there are still within her, noble spirits firmly believing that slavery is an evil, and hoping, faintly hoping, that it will one day be banished from within her borders. We have seen in the conduct of *her* representative at the Court of London that she feels it as a keen and bitter reproach to be told that she has sunk into a breeder of slaves for sale; that she gladly would, if she could, deny it to be true. But, from the expedients to which he resorted for the vindication of his own honor and hers; from her terror at the very sound of the word abolition, from the tenacity with which she now clings to Southern principles, though encumbered with a Northern man, there is too much reason to apprehend that she too will abjure the self- evident truths of the Declaration of Independence, and cast off her allegiance to the natural and inalienable rights of man.

When this revolution of sentiment shall have been completed, ween the whole South shall have been weaned from the self-evident truths of the Declaration of Independence, and reconverted to the faith that slavery is not only conformable to, but sanctioned by, the laws of Nature and of Nature's God, then will be the time for separation from the fanatics of the North and for the organization of a Southern Confederacy, founded upon the principles of perpetual and irredeemable slavery, and for the annexation of Texas, and of as much as can be conquered of Mexico to the same.

That this is and has for years been the policy of the South Carolina party, cannot admit of a doubt. And to the purposes of this party, nothing would so effectively administer as the *immediate* abolition of slavery in the District of Columbia, *if it could be n w effected.*

Now, earnestly as I desire that abolition, as soon as it can possibly be effected with justice to the inhabitants of the District, and with safety to the peace and preservation of the Union, I am not prepared to stake my responsibility as a Representative of the People upon a measure which, for the immediate emancipation of five or six thousand slaves out of three millions, would, as I believe it would, immediately hazard both. The whole south and Southwest, not only in Congress, but in the nation, are united against it. Nothing less than the union of the whole North and Northwest, both in and out of Congress, could possibly accomplish it even in Congress. The President has given pledges in advance, both before and since his election, that he would interpose his veto against such a bill should it ever be carried by majorities in both Houses of Congress. To expect that majorities of two-thirds of both Houses now, or for many years to come, would vote for the measure against the Presidential negative, would be nothing short of insanity. What, then, is the meaning of that *immediate* abolition which the American Anti-Slavery Society has made the test of orthodoxy to their political church? A moral and physical impossibility!

I am not aware that any one of the petitions which were committed to you to my charge required the *immediate* abolition of slavery in the District or the Territories; but in the recent report of the Executive Committee of the American Anti-Slavery Society, I observe that the friends of free institutions are congratulated that the doctrine of immediate emancipation is now established on a basis from which it cannot be dislodged, either by the malice of its enemies, or the unfaithfulness of its friends. They consider the keystone of this divine argument as placed in its eternal home by the parliamentary liberation of the slaves in the British Celonies.

But if the example of British parliamentary emancipation is to be considered as having solved this question upon a divine foundation, you will please to observe that an essential part of the payment of it is one hundred millions of dollars to the owners of those emancipated slaves by way of indemnity for the loss of their property, as it had been held to be under the preceding laws, and as it is held to be by the laws of our slaveholding States. This parliamentary emancipation was evidently a compromise, in my estimation, an honest and honorable compromise; but whatever of divine the Executive Committee of the American Anti-Slavery Society perceive in the immediate emancipation of the British Colonial slaves, I do not understand them as considering the payment of the twenty millions of pounds sterling to indemnify the owners of the slaves as partaking any share of that divine authority.

If I understand correctly the sentiments of the Executive Committee of the American Anti-Slavery Society, they approve and applaud the parliamentary process so far as it extends to emancipation; but reject, not without indignation, that part of the British precedent which grants, at the expense of the nation, an equivalent to the dispossessed proprietor.

For myself, fellow citizens, I freely confess that, believing as I do, that freedom is a natural and inalienable right of man, and that, by the laws of Nature and of Nature's God, an immortal soul cannot be made a chattel, I am yet disinclined to make of these opinions articles of a religious creed with the pretensions to impose it upon others. If asked whether I consider it a sin to hold a fellow creature in bondage for life, I might answer that it would be so in me; but I am not commissioned to denounce the judgement of God upon those who differ from me in religious belief, whether upon the slavery question or upon any other. I have heard from my Master the injunction, "Judge not, that ye be not judged," and from more than one of his Apostles the question, who art thou that judgest another man's servant, or another? The days of denouncing prophecy are past; and when I see that slavery has been permitted by Almighty God to exist from the earliest periods of history, sacred or profane, down to the present day, though I look forward with earnest hope and intense desire to the day when it will be banished from my country and from the earth, I have no vocation for the exercise of force or constraint or injustice, even for the liberation of the slave.

If the abolition of slavery is effected in this country, it must be either by force, that is, by a civil and servile war, or by the consent of the owners of slaves. All the abolitionists and all the anti-slavery societies totally disclaim all intention or purpose to employ or to sanction the employment of force, and complain with reason, that the imputation of any such design to them is a slander. Immediate emancipation, therefore, so in their purpose to be effected, with the consent of the masters, and without indemnity to them. In what page of the volume of human nature they found the receipt for this balsam to the sore of slavery, or in what cell in the imagination it was devised, I know not. FRANKLIN, it is said, made the discovery that an effusion of oil will smooth the mountain waves of a stormy sea; but no philosopher has yet appeared to make the experiment of pouring it into the summit of a smoking crater to extinguish the volcano within.

With the most sincere belief in the integrity of your intentions and with reverence for the benevolence and purity of your purposes, let me ask those of you, my friends, who believe the immediate emancipation of the slaves of this country, with the consent of their masters, without indemnity, and without the use of force, a practicable thing, whether the success of your moral suasion upon the minds of the slaveholders hitherto has been encouraging to your hopes or expectations of ultimately prevailing upon them to give up at once their opinions and their property? Have you converted one to the true faith of immediate emancipation without indemnity? Is the temper with which your arguments are received; nay, is the temper with which they are urged, of that character which conciliates acquiescence and ripens hesitancy into conviction? With what feelings are your hearts impressed towards the slaveholder? "Do men gather grapes of thorns, or figs of thistles?" You appeal, with exultation, to what you consider, perhaps prematurely, the successful result of the immediate emancipation of slaves in the British Colonies; and yet you "avail the conditions:" you reject all idea of indemnity to the man-stealer, as you style him, at the very time when you are petitioning his representative to liberate his slaves. If one hundred millions of dollars have been cheerfully, magnanimously paid by the People of Britain for the liberation of 800,000 slaves, four times that sum at least would be required of the People of this Union to liberate the slaves held in bondage here, at the same rate of indemnity. But, at the present market price of slaves, that would not amount to one-third part of indemnity for the value lost. And how was the emancipation of slaves in the British Colonies accomplished? By an act of Parliament—an assembly in which the colonists had no representation. In direct contradiction to the principle upon which our Revolution was founded. If the question had been submitted to the decision of the Legislative Assemblies of the Colonies themselves, do you imagine that any such emancipation would have been effected, even for twice or thrice the amount of the indemnity allowed by Parliament?

One of the petitions transmitted to me at the late session of Congress, to be presented to the House of Representatives, signed by John Jay and citizens of New York, prayed that congress would propose an amendment to the Constitution of the United States, for the refusal to admit any new slave States into the Union. The petition did not specify the mode of amendment desired, but I have long been of opinion that if the object is ever to be attained peaceably and with the consent of the slaveholders, of which I have but a faint hope, it must be by that process, and never will be accomplished by any other; and I took the occasion, when asking leave of the House to present the petition, to include in the request the permission also to present three resolutions of amendment to the Constitution: 1. Providing that after a given day all children born within the United States should be born free. 2. That, with the exception of Florida, no State, the Constitution of which would sanction the institution of slavery, should ever be admitted into the Union; and, 3. That after a given day there should be neither slavery nor involuntary servitude, unless in punishment for crime, at the Seat of Government of the Union. The House refused me the permission to present either the petition or the resolutions. The petition was afterwards admitted, with many hundreds more, to the Clerk's table, by general order of the House of the 18th of February, but the resolutions were never received; and, if they had been, must have been laid on the table by the gag resolution of the 12th of December, 1838.

I have not been surprised to find in the Emancipator a notice that my resolutions were not satisfactory to the petitioners, at whose suggestion I had prepared them for presentation. They are in no wise and not in the remotest degrce responsible for them. I had no expectation that my resolutions would be received by the House. I knew they would not be; but I presented them rather to the petitioners, as comprising the only mode in which I believe the abolition of slavery could possibly be effected without violence and without injustice.

I lament the temper mutually rankling between the slaveholders and the abolitionists, and am convinced that, as long as it shall exist, the abolition of slavery in this Union, or even in the District of Columbia, is as far beyond the regions of possibility as any project of the philosophers of Laputa. The multiplication of Anti-Slavery Societies within the last three years has appeared to me rather to weaken than to promote their cause, or at least their prospect of immediate or early succes.—With the increase of the numbers, new and collateral questions, always controvertible and perplexing, like parasite suckers from the main stem of the tree, have sprung up to divide their counsels and introduce dissention among themselves. The captious disputations of moral and political casuistry, about non-resistance, defensive war, the rights of woman, political action, no Government, the social condition of the colored race, the encouragement given to the slaves to escape from their masters, and exaggerated representations of the miseries of their condition, have eminently concurred not only to counteract their influence upon the main object of their association, but to make them unpopular and even odious, not only in the South, but in all parts of the Union. Their annoyance of candidates for popular election, by putting searching questions to them as tests, importing at once a promise and a threat, has not propitiated to them the good-will of any party, and has made them obnoxious to all. The purity of the principle of these formal interrogatories, for answers to be followed by suffrages, is very questionable, with reference to the freedom of elections. The expedient itself has seldom if ever been successful of candidates for popular election, by putting searching questions to them as tests, importing at once a promise and a threat, has not propitiated to them the good-will of any party, and has made them obnoxious to all. The purity of the principle of these formal interrogatories, for answers to be followed by suffrages, is very questionable, with reference to the freedom of elections. The expedient itself has seldom if ever been successful to accomplish its object. It has in almost every instance disclosed the weakness of the abolitionists as a party, distinct from the great political competitors for the favor and the power of the people.

My objections to the immediate abolition of slavery in the Territory of Florida are the same with those which I have here set forth against the same measure in the District of Columbia, with the addition that the conditional upon which the Territory was ceded to the United States by Spain formally stipulate for its admission into the Union on the same terms as are secured to the primitive citizens of the United States. To impose a new condition now upon the inhabitants, as a *sine qua non*, of admission to the Union as a state, would be, in my judgment, a breach of faith. I voted against the admission of the state of Arkansas, because her Constitution expressly denied to her Legislature the power of emancipating slaves. Should the Constitution of Florida contain the same provision, I should vote in the same manner; but the faith of the nation is already pledged to the admission of Florida on the same terms upon which other Southern states have been admitted; and we have no right now to require more of her than has been required of them.

The petitions against the admission of any state hereafter, the Constitution of which shall tolerate slavery, were not susceptible of an affirmative answer. A negative resolution to that effect would have no binding force, even if adopted by both Houses of Congress; for they cannot circumscribe the powers of their successors. But, with the exception of Florida, I never would consent to the admission of any new slave State.

I have now fully and freely exposed to you, fellow-citizens, my views with regard to the multitude of petitions which you have committed to my charge. The opinion of the civilized world has been for ages maturing into a settled conviction that slavery, in any of its forms, is a sin and a reproach to any People. More than three thousand years ago the debasement of human character, by its operation upon the slave himself, was noticed by the greatest of the Poets, who says, that the day which makes man a slave takes away half his worth. Its degrading and corrupting influence upon the heart and mind of the master is not less philosopically true. The new theory which attempts to convert slavery into a blessing, and tempts to convert slavery into a blessing, and tempts to convert slavery into a blessing, and t

and proper action on the slave-states, induce them to bring it to an end without delay.

Resolved, That as the slaveholder has procured, if not commanded, the action of Congress, as well as of our own state-legislature, to confirm his power over the slave, additional obligations are laid upon us, as Americans, and as Ohio citizens, to engage in anti-slavery operations.

Resolved, That the proceedings in Congress, during the last session, with regard to petitions and memorials for the abolition of slavery in the District of Columbia, the slave-trade, and kindred objects, were invasive of the sovereignty of the free states, and of the constitutional rights of individuals. Any interest of a local character, which thus aspires to use the national legislature as an instrument for dishonoring the states, and outraging rights solemnly secured by the federal constitution, (and such we regard the slave-holding interest,) ought to be restrained, if not put down.

Resolved, That the resolutions introduced by Mr. Atherton into the House of Representatives of the United States, and passed by that body, were a wicked attempt to deprive American citizens, by the action of one house of Congress, of that sacred right, which the constitution declares it shall not be competent for the whole power of Congress to abridge.

Resolved, That we deny the truth of that part of the first of said resolutions, which declares, that Congress has no jurisdiction whatever over the subject of slavery in the several states of this confederacy; because it has a right to regulate, or abolish the slave-trade, which is part and parcel of the institution of slavery; and because too, it owes protection to the slave against foreign aggression, and can punish him for treason or other crime against the United States, independently of any slave-state or slave-holder.

Resolved, That the second resolution, in assuming that Congress ought to be influenced by the alleged motives of petitioners, is an impertinent usurpation. No matter what may be the motives of petitioners, they belong to themselves, and the only question for Congress to decide is, whether the object prayed for be constitutional and proper to be granted.

Resolved, That, so far from Congress having no right to do that, which may indirectly affect the institution of slavery, it is both their right and duty, and in accordance with the design of the framers of the constitution, to exercise in a moral way, every power conferred by the constitution, which may indirectly overthrow slavery.

Resolved, That the assertion in the third resolution, that the "disturbing or overthrow" of slavery in the several states is against the true spirit and meaning of the constitution, is a gross libel on that instrument, and a broad and glaring curtailment of the rights of the states; because, if the spirit and meaning of the constitution be, that slavery shall exist in the states, then indeed the states have no power over the subject, and the curse of slavery is entailed upon them, in spite of themselves.

Resolved, That, the resolution by which petitions for the abolition of slavery in the District of Columbia and territories, and the slave-trade, were laid on the table, without being debated, printed, or referred, is an act of high-handed usurpation, and a naked violation of every principle of free government; an act which, if patiently acquiesced in by the people of the free states, will open the way for still more fearful invasions of their liberties.

Resolved, That the system, adopted by the Senate of the United States, of disposing of all petitions relating to slavery, by laying on the table motions to receive them, is a practical denial of the right of petition, and calls for the indignant and unintermitted remonstrances of every American citizen.

Resolved, That the conduct of the same body, in refusing to consider or print the resolutions of the state of Vermont on the same subject, shows that the slave-holding power has no more respect for the sovereignty of states, than the rights of individuals; and ought to be solemnly and sternly rebuked by every legislature in the Union.

The following resolution was here moved by Rev. William Beecher, as proper to be subjoined, to the two immediately preceding.

Resolved, That the contempt with which petitions and memorials on the subject of slavery have been treated by Congress and our own legislature, calls loudly for the indignation of every friend of liberty; and so far from preventing should only stimulate us, to more persevering and decided efforts, to make our sentiments and wishes known, by the most earnest petition, and decided protest, until our legislature listens to the same. Carried.

Resolved, That the refusal of the General Government, to recognize the independence of Hayti; and its persistent, pertinacious endeavors to effect the restoration of cargoes of slaves, wrecked on British islands, or driven by stress of weather into British ports, and liberated by virtue of British laws,—while they demonstrate the hypocrisy of our professions of devotion to liberty and equality, and convict us of loving gain more than consistency, at the same time show beyond all doubt, that the institution of southern slavery is not a sectional, but a national affair.

Resolved, therefore, that slavery, having thus placed itself under the protection of the General Government, and thereby involved the whole Union in one common guilt and disgrace, we not only claim a right to interfere with it by moral means, but also claim, that the Constitution of the United States, if necessary, should be so amended as to enable the national legislature to legislate for its abolition. For it is manifestly absurd, that the power and honor of the whole nation should be called in requisition, to sanction, sustain and protect an institution, over which, it is assumed that it has no jurisdiction.

[This resolution gave rise to considerable discussion. It was opposed, chiefly, because an apprehension prevailed, that it would be misunderstood, and subject us to injurious misrepresentation. It was finally laid on the table, much against our will. It is high time to tell the slaveholders, that, if they will have our aid to uphold slavery, they must share with us the power of controlling it.—Ed. Phil.]

Resolved, That the Honorable Henry Clay, in his late speech on Abolition in the Senate of the United States, so far from occupying the high position of an American statesman, comprehending in his views and solicitudes the interests of the whole country, and founding his opinions and policy on the principles of eternal justice, has prostituted himself to the support of an interest, sectional in character, radically wrong in principle, despotic in demand, violent and reckless in measures, adverse to the highest welfare of the Union, and at war with *Humanity*.

Resolved, That while his grave and inflamm atory misrepresentations of the movements, motives and principles of abolitionists, qualify him to assume the championship of the slave-holding interest; the false and dangerous principles, mercenary arguments, rank selfishness, apathy to the wrongs of the oppressed, unblushing sympathy with the oppressor, every where prominent in his speech, and the complacent presumption with which he calculates on the continual blessing of God upon a system of never-ending slavery, added to his own persistance in the accursed practice of slave-holding, disqualify him for any office of honor and influence among a free people.

Resolved, That, since Mr. Van Buren, as President of the Senate, gave his casting vote in favor of Mr. Calhoun's bill to prohibit the free circulation by the mail, of papers favorable to Human Rights—afterward, at his inauguration as President of the United States, pledged himself to veto any bill which might be passed by both Houses of Congress for the abolition of slavery in the District of Columbia, unless same bill should be supported by the slave-holding States—has given no evidence, so far as is known to this meeting, that he is not, up to this time, favorable to the perpetuation of slavery in the South, and that he is not to the full, a "Northern President with Southern principles" —he is therefore undeserving of support, as a candidate for the first office of this Government.

[A resolution was offered by Wm. Purdy, which was adopted, and ordered to be printed after each one of the two foregoing resolutions. We regret exceedingly that it has been mislaid; but the substance of it was, that the Convention could not countenance any candidate, holding opinions on slavery, like those entertained by Henry Clay or Martin Van Buren.—Ed. Phil.]

Resolved, That while we honor John Quincy Adams for his defence of the right of petition and freedom of debate, for his strenuous opposition to the annexation of Texas, and his bold expressions of determined hostility to the slaveholding system; nevertheless, we disavow all sympathy for any scheme of *gradual* abolition which he may devise, and should be as reluctant, while he holds his present opinions on the question of abolition, to acknowledge him as one of the leaders or representatives of the abolition organization, as he is unwilling to be thought such.

Resolved, That the interest which distinguished men in the United States, not abolitionists, have taken in the discussion of the slavery question, and their efforts to contribute their share to the overthrow of the evil, we duly appreciate; but, at the same time, feel more than ever convinced of the vital importance of adhering to our own principles and mode of action, and of procuring the adhesion thereto of the whole American people.

Resolved, That we have no sympathy with that class of anti-slavery persons, who glow with generous indignation at abridgements of the rights of the free, but look, unmoved, upon the degradation and oppression of the slave.

Resolved, That we are primarily and chiefly opposed to slavery, because it is sin against God, a sin in which by our social, ecclesiastical and political relations we are necessarily involved; because it inflicts incalculable wrong upon nearly three millions of our fellow-countrymen, whom we are religiously bound to love as ourselves; and because it acts as a principle of corruption in church and state all over the country.

Resolved, That we are moreover opposed to slavery, because, it hinders the prosperity of the nation, disgraces its character, divides its councils, tends to disunion and civil war, and jeopards all our rights.

Resolved, That, as the practice of slaveholding is in itself necessarily and unchangeably sinful, we are as much as ever convinced of the duty of its *immediate* abandonment.

Resolved, That the expediency of immediate abolition is no longer a matter to be settled alone by abstract reasoning and reference to principles of duty, but is fully established by the results of emancipation in the West Indies.

C—third series.

1. *Resolved*, That while we have been, and still are, mainly anxious to discuss the moral and religious character, bearings, and tendencies of slavery, and leave to politicians, as far as is consistent with our relations to God and our country, the discussion of its political character, bearings and tendencies, yet, for the following obvious reasons, we feel called upon, as abolitionists and christians, freely to discuss its political character and influence.

a. Religion cannot be separated from politics and government, inasmuch as our conformity or non-conformity to the law of our country, must have a moral and religious character.

b. Since our Legislature has undertaken to legislate upon the subject, and frame laws for the government of our conduct, we are imperatively bound to inquire into the moral character of those laws, and of the course of conduct they prescribe and enjoin.

2. *Resolved*, That for the following obvious reasons, we regard it, as a well settled principle of both common and constitutional law, that no human legislation can annul, or set aside, the law or authority of God.

a. The most able writers on elementary law, have laid it down as a first principle, that whatever is contrary to the law of God, is not law.

b. Where a bond, or other written instrument, or any thing else, is of immoral teudency, Courts of law have refused to recognize them as legal and obligatory.

c. The administration of oaths, or affirmations, in Courts of justice, is a recognition of the existence and supreme authority of God.

d. The Constitution of this State expressly recognizes the axiom, that no human enactment can bind the conscience, or set aside our obligations to God.

e. The grand instrument on which the federal Government is founded, recognizes the same truth —that rights conferred by our Creator as inalienable, can never be cancelled, or set aside, by human enactments.

f. The administration of oaths, or affirmations, in all the departments of the general and state governments, is a recognition of the truth, that God's authority is supreme.

3. *Resolved*. That we do not consider, what are familiarly, and we believe, significantly, termed the Black Laws of Ohio, and especially the one passed last winter, relating to fugitives from labor, as obligatory upon the citizens of this State, inasmuch as its requisitions are a palpable violation of the Constitution of this State, and of the United States, of the common law and of the law of God.

[It will be noticed that the reason alleged why the *Black laws* of Ohio are not obligatory upon us, is because the *law passed last winter* is so and so. The resolution therefore is not a logical one. This alone would be with us a sufficient reason for recording our vote in dissent. Another reason would induce us to this course.—We are not prepared to say that we do not consider any one of the black laws, in any of its provisions, as obligatory upon the citizens of this State. In *so far* as these laws require from me an act, of immoral character, they certainly have no obligatory power over me. That part of the resolution, however, which condemns the Servile Law of last winter, and denies its validity, we can go for, with all our mind and strength. —En. Phil.]

4. *Resolved*, That for the reasons assigned in the above resolutions, we should deem obedience especially to the law of last winter, highly immoral.

["Especially" ought to have been omitted.

En. Phil.]

5. *Resolved*, That no man, by any promise or oath, or resolution, can make it right, or lawful for him to do that, which is contrary to the law of God.

6. *Resolved*, That an oath or affirmation, to support any human constitution or government, is obligatory no farther than the principles of that constitution or government are in accordance with the law of God.

7. *Resolved*, That an oath or affirmation to support the Constitution and laws of this State and of the United States, is obligatory because, and as far as, these constitutions and laws are consistent with each other, and with the law of God, and no farther.

[This resolution needs explanation. One of the measures and reasons of a man's allegiance to the Constitution and laws of the United States, is, certainly, not the accordance of these with the constitution of his particular State; for the federal constitution and all laws passed in pursuance of it, are the *supreme* law of the land, any thing in the Constitutions or laws of the several States to the contrary notwithstanding. The resolution means simply, that an oath or affirmation to support the Constitution and laws of the United States and the several States, is obligatory, because and as far as, they are consistent with the law of God, and that allegiance to the laws of a State is due, because and far as, the laws are consistent, not only with the law of God, but the Constitution of the particular State, and of the United States.—Ed. Phil.]

8. *Resolved*, That we deem it to be the imperative duty of all the citizens of this State, and of the United States, to inquire into the moral character and bearings of our federal and state Constitutions and laws on the subject of slavery.

9. *Resolved*, That we deem it highly improper, for Christians to decline acting on the subject of slavery and emancipation, on account of the political character and bearings of these questions, because we cannot innocently suffer legal enactments to crush our brother, when the means of prevention are peaceable, and within our power.

☞R. P. Lowe.—Will some of our Dayton friends let us know, whether the Lowe, that introduced the Black Bill last session into the lower House, is the same who laid the corner-stone of the Montgomery county Colonization Society? It is instructive sometimes, to note remarkable coincidences. Floon, author of the servile resolutions, was chief man in bringing the State Colonization Society to life again.

☞Another thing we wish to know from some Dayton friend. Let us have the particulars of the seizure of that colored man in Dayton, who, while on his way back to endless slavery, chose to avoid his cruel fate, by leaping from the third story of a house in Cincinnati, and killing himself. Mr. Lowe was concerned in this transaction.

☞Societies in Indiana.—It is highly desirable to have a correct register of the different antislavery Societies in Indiana. The Corresponding Secretary of each Society in that State, or other competent person belonging to it, is earnestly requested to furnish us immediately, with answers to the following questions.

1. *When* was your Society formed, and what is its *name?*

2. *Who* is your *president, who your corresponding or recording secretary*, and *what*, is their *post office address?*

3. *What* is your *present number*, and what has been your *increase?*

Any Society answering these questions, will be entitled to twelve copies of the Annual Report of the Indiana State Anti-Slavery Society, and also to several copies of the report of its recent annual meeting.

Savageism in Brown County.—The poor colored woman, who was shot in the back, some weeks since, by one of a gang of white villains, who attacked the colored settlement in Brown county, has recently died of the wound, and with her, a child, that never saw the light. The perpetrator of the double murder is now going at large, although many individuals know that he committed it. It is doubted, whether any indictment will be found against him, as it is said the prosecuting attorney for the county, will do all he can to prevent it!

An intelligent man from Brown county says, that there is no hope of justice being done there; the law had no power; and every man was thrown upon his own resources for protection. It is no wonder that a community which can supply so many blood-hounds for the use of the slave hunter, is reduced to such a state of savageism.

FUNDS.

More than four thousand dollars are now due us on the Philanthropist. What do our subscribers mean? If they would pay punctually, the paper would support itself. As it is, how do they think it can be supported? There must be punctuality in payment, or we shall be compelled soon, to stop the papers of those who do not pay.—Some may think they are doing us a favor by subscribing. To all such we would say, if you do not think you get the full worth of your money, stop your papers. *We* know that you get *more* in value than you pay for. You do us no favor; *you simply do your duty*, in subscribing. Every abolitionist that can afford to drink *tea* and *coffee*, and eat *bitter*, these hard times, *ought* to subscribe for an abolition paper, and *pay for it.*—We do hope our friends will give us no more trouble on this subject. Regularly, week after week, the printer comes with his bill; and it is as regularly discharged, *even* when the *treasury is empty*, as is now the fact. At this very time, just after the Convention has adjourned, when our treasury should be full, it is *five hundred dollars worse* than empty. Is this right? Massachusetts last year gave $16,000 to the anti-slavery cause.—Can't Ohio Abolitionists pay their *subscription* money? Gerrit Smith has just given a donation of $10,000 to the Parent Society. Why, this is almost as much as the thirty thousand abolitionists of Ohio have *given* in three years! It is painful thus to expose the truth, but it is necessary.—*Now*, instead of sending out but two lecturers as it our intention to do, we ought to be able to send a dozen. How long will it take to root out the slavery spirit from Ohio, and fill it with the spirit of liberty, if we do no more in future, than we have done for the last two years? From the want of zeal and liberality among us, one would suppose that with Mr. Adams, we had sunk down in despair, under the belief that slavery was omnipotent.

How many societies, think you, contributed, at our last anniversary? Only thirty, and yet we have some three hundred and fifty in the State!—Can the balance, three hundred and twenty societies, do nothing? We have a proposition to make. Suppose each one of you raise on the spot ten dollars. Can't you do it? This will make three thousand and two hundred dollars, which would support for one year in the field, six good lecturers! O, that our societies were not soulless! Is there a society so poor, that it cannot raise ten dollars?

Brethren, we appeal to you for help. Think of this matter. Talk about it, but do not stop there. ACT, and ACT NOW. Let some good abolitionist, within the bounds of each society, who feels that God and his country are crying aloud to him, to stretch forth his hand to help the down trodden slave, call his brethren together. If he cannot get up a meeting, let him make it his instant business to visit every man, from whom any thing can be expected, and in the name of bleeding humanity and a dishonored country, beseech him to contribute what he can of that which the Lord has given him. Where is the zeal of other days? Has our martyr-age come to an end so soon?

Next week, we shall send William Birney a son of our excellent friend, Jas. G. Birney, into Warren, Montgomery, Greene, Preble, Clark and Miami Counties. Get your contributions ready; and bid him welcome. We trust that he may have the benefit of your sympathies and co-labors. He is commissioned, to extend the circulation of the Philanthropist, collect monies already due on it, obtain pledges, and donations, receive payments on pledges already made, and also to give lectures occasionally.

Other agents we shall soon have in the field, of which timely notice will be given.

A New Organization in Massachusetts.—We notice in the Massachusetts Abolitionist and Emancipator, an account of the formation of a new State Anti-slavery Society in Massachusetts. The reason alleged by its founders for this movement is, that the old society has departed from the principles on which it was originally constituted. In our next, we intend to publish its proceedings.

A. A. Phelps is the Recording, Elizur Wright, the Corresponding, Secretary.

We deeply regret that the Liberator and its friends have opened a warfare upon this society. The New England Anti-Slavery Convention, in session at the time, passed two resolutions against it, in style and spirit, very similar to the anathemas of "Holy Mother Church" in other times,—affirming that "it should be regarded by all genuine and consistent friends of emancipation as a dangerous *movement—hostile to the genius of abolitionism*—and unworthy of the anti-slavery professions, of those who countenance it." The editor protem of the Liberator says, "it is predicated upon two distinct and palpable falsehoods," and stigmatizes those engaged in getting it up, as "*disorganizers.*" In commenting upon some remarks made by the Rev. Geo. Track in its defence, he further observes,—

"They 'let the cat fairly out of the bag,' and showed that the society had been formed in obedience to the wishes of those rabbies in church and state, who have heretofore stood aloof from the cause—the Priests and Levites, who have so long felt themselves compelled by a regard for their *dignity* to pass by on the other side and leave the slave to perish in his chains? That men of this description regard the new society with satisfaction on account of its hostility to Mr. Garrison and the Liberator, we have no doubt."

All this we regard, as a vulgar attempt to stir up suspicion and prejudice against a society, whose founders stand on a level with the most devoted and able advocates of the anti-slavery cause, and who have acted in this matter from conscientious motives. We feel it to be our duty, to set our face against that intolerant spirit, which can vilify such men as Amos Phelps, Elizur Wright, Orange Scott, and Alanson St. Clair, because they choose to differ with Mr. Wright and co-adjutors. What! are we to be told that these tried friends of the slave, are "*professed* abolitionists," "disorganizers," giving utterance to "falsehoods," acting "in obedience to the wishes" of the most corrupt and heartless, both in church and state! What we particularly regret, however, is, that the colored people of Boston should have been induced to come out in a public meeting, and pass a series of resolutions denouncing men, who have been behind none in all our ranks, in labors and sufferings, in their behalf and that of the slave, and who are yet devoting their best powers for the redemption of the colored man.

There is neither kind feeling nor wisdom in such conduct.

ANTI-SLAVERY SOCIETY,
For the Abolition of Slavery and the slave-trade throughout the World.

We promised in our last to give an account of the formation of this important society. The letter of Mr. Adams prevents us from giving the entire account. Other particulars we shall reserve for another opportunity. We copy from the London Patriot of April 23d.

Slavery and the Slave-Trade.

A meeting, of which we gave a brief notice in our last, convened by circular addressed to the anti-slavery Delegates who assembled in London in 1833, 1837, and 1838, and other friends to the cause, was held in the Minor Room, Exeter-Hall, Strand, on Wednesday, the 17th inst.; at which there were present Dr. Lushington, M P; Sir J E E Wilmot, M P; Sir George Strickland, M P; Edward Turner, M P; also delegates from Dublin, Norwich, Chesham, Witham, Darlington, Birmingham, Bungay, Chelmsford, Southampton, Cambridge, Doncaster, Wallingford, Lutterworth, Rodborough, Derby, Stevenage, Glasgow, Kingston-on-Thames, Ipswich, Staffordshire, Potteries, Hitchin, Huddersfield, Leominster, Woodbridge, Coggeshall, Kendal, Rochester, Bristol, Stourport, Nottingham, &c. &c. Many of the members of the London Anti-Slavery Committee were also present. W T Blair, of Bath, the chairman of the anti-slavery delegates in 1838, would have presided on the occasion, but was prevented from being present by a severe domestic affliction. The chair was taken by Captain, MOOSOM, R N.

The proceedings of the meeting were peculiarly interesting, being preliminary to the formation of the society. On the next day, the delegates convened, and the following proceedings took place.

British and Foreign Anti-Slavery Society, for the Abolition of Slavery and the Slave-Trade throughout the world.

Proceedings of an adjourned meeting of anti-slavery delegates and friends to the cause, assembled from various parts of the United Kingdom; held at Exeter-Hall, on Thursday, the 18th of April, 1839.

JOSEPH STURGE, Esq., in the Chair:

The Committee appointed to prepare a form of Constitution and Regulations on the basis of the Resolutions passed yesterday, and to nominate a Committee and Officers for this Society, submitted the following to the consideration and adoption of the Meeting:—

1. That the name of this Society be, The British and Foreign Anti-Slavery Society.

2. That the objects of the Society be the universal extinction of Slavery and the Slave-trade, and the protection of the rights and interests of the enfranchised population in the British possessions, and of all persons captured as Slaves.

3. That the following be the fundamental principles of the Society—that so long as Slavery exists there is no reasonable prospect of the annihilation of the Slave-trade, and of extinguishing the cruel and barter of human beings; that the extinction of Slavery and the Slave-trade will be attained most effectually by the employment of those means which are of a moral, religious, and pacific character; that no measures be resorted to by this Society in the prosecution of these objects but such as are in entire accordance with these principles.

4. That the following be among the means to be employed by this Society:—

1. To circulate, both at home and abroad, accurate information on the enormities of the Slave-trade and Slavery; to furnish evidence to the inhabitants of Slave-holding countries not only of the practicability, but of the pecuniary advantage of free labor; to diffuse authentic intelligence respecting the results of emancipation in Hayti, the British Colonies, and elsewhere; to open a correspondence with Abolitionists in America, France, and other countries; and to encourage them in the prosecution of their objects by all methods consistent with the principles of this Society.

2. To recommend the use of free-grown produce, as far as practicable, in preference to Slave-grown, and to promote the adoption of fiscal regulations in favor of free labor.

3. To obtain the unequivocal recognition of the principle, that he Slave of whatever clime or color, on entering any portion of the British Dominions, shall be free, the same as upon the shores of the United Kingdom, and to carry this principle into full and complete effect.

4. To recommend that every suitable opportunity be embraced for evincing in our intercourse with Slave-holders and their apologists our abhorrence of the system which they uphold, and our sense of its utter incompatibility with the spirit of the Christian religion.

5. That every person who subscribes not less than ten shillings annually, or makes a donation of Five pounds or upwards, shall be a member of this society.

6. That the Society be under the management of a Treasurer, Secretary, and a Committee of not less than twenty-one persons, who shall be annually elected, and shall have power to fill up vacancies and to add to their number.

7. That there be held in London a general meeting of the subscribers once in one year, at which a report of proceedings, and a financial statement shall be presented and a committee and officers elected.

8. That the Committee have power to transact all business of the Society, in the intervals of the general meetings, and to convene special general meetings of the Society when necessary.

9. That it be recommended to the Anti-Slavery friends throughout the country to form Auxiliary Societies upon the principles of, and in connexion with this Society.

10. That Auxiliary Societies be empowered annually to appoint, and where such Auxiliaries are not formed, the Committee shall have power annually to appoint, one or more corresponding members, who shall be at liberty to attend and vote at all meetings of the Committee in London; and that the Committee shall also be authorized to appoint annually Honorary Corresponding members who shall have the same privileges.

11. That the following gentlemen be the Committee and officers of this Society.

The Right Hon. S. Lushington, L. L. D. — Henry Sterry,
F. F. Buxton, — Joseph Cooper,
William Allen, — Stafford Allen,
George Stacy, — Richard Barrett,
William Ball, — Samuel Gurney,
Robert Forster, — Rev. Mr. Woodwark,
Josiah Conder, — Rev. John Young,
Richard Peek, — W. Taylor,
Rebt. Howard, — James J. Wright,
Henry Tuckett, — Samuel Fox,
John Beaumont, — L. C. Lecesne,
George Bennet — Robert Russell,
Josiah Forster,

Treasurer.—G. W. Alexander, Lombard st.

Honorary Secretary.—J. H. Tredgold, 41, Wellclose-square.

On motion of the Right Honorable S. Lushington, L. L. D., seconded by W. Wilson, Esq., it was unanimously resolved:—

"That Thomas Clarkson, Esq., the first and venerable advocate of the abolition; Joseph Sturge, Esq., whose strenuous exertions and personal sacrifices have so greatly contibuted to the extinction of the apprenticeship system, and the movers and seconders of the motions in Parliament, for the attainment of that object, namely—Sir J. E. E. L. Wilmot, Bart.; Sir George Strickland, Bart.; Jos. Pease, jr. Esq.; Hon. C. P. Villiers, be Honorary Corresponding members of this Society.

On motion of Jas. Whitethorne, Esq., seconded by Thos. Bignold, Esq., it was resolved—

"That the form of constitution and regulations now read, and the Committee and Officers proposed, be approved by this meeting.

JOSEPH STURGE, Chairman.

Call for the National Convention.

At the last anniversary of the American Anti-Slavery Society it was voted to hold a National Convention at Albany on the 31st day of July next. The undersigned were appointed a committee to issue a Call and make the necessary arrangements for the proposed convention.

In executing the wishes of the Society, they accordingly most cordially invite all such FREEMEN OF THE UNITED STATES AS ADOPT THE PRINCIPLES EMBODIED IN THE CONSTITUTION OF THE AMERICAN ANTI-SLAVERY SOCIETY to meet in convention at Albany on the last Wednesday of July next, in the Presbyterian meeting house, at ten o'clock, A. M.

The object of the convention is the thorough discussion of those great principles which lie at the foundation of the abolition enterprize throughout the civilized world; and of the measures which are suited to its accomplishment in the United States, and especially those which relate to a proper exercise of the right of suffrage by citizens of the free states. All questions and matters foreign to this object will be cautiously avoided in the deliberations of the occasion.

W. L. Chaplin, Wm. Goodell, Joseph Leavitt, H. B. Stanton, } Utica.
Gerrit Smith, } Peterboro.
Gerrit Smith, } Troy.
N. Safford, A. G. Alger, Hiram Fanning, Nathan Colburn, } Albany.

☞Letter of John Q. Adams.—We had prepared some comments upon this letter, which, however, we must lay over till our next number.

"Compensation."—It is said that a new business in the way of raising money has recently been started in Philadelphia—that of kidnapping or stealing children and then hiding them away till a large reward is offered by their parents for their recovery.—*The papers.*

"Compensation!" And why not? Kidnapping and stealing little children is the principal business carried on in and about the country. Our principal statement now engaged in it. Kidnapped children are the staple commodity of export of Old Virginia—and our doctors of divinity insist upon it, that if the kidnapper gives them up, they must have a *compensation!* The loafers of Philadelphia seem to have profited under the lectures of their teachers.—*Goodell.*

NOTICES.

RECEIPTS.

PLEDGES AND DONATIONS.

From May 3d to June 14th.

Isaac Lewis P M towards New A S Book Dep., $5,00; Washington co. A S Soc on old pledge, by Samuel Hall, 10,00; Cornelius Burnett, Cincinnati, on pledge, 20,00; Israel township A S Soc, 18,00; Isaac Trembly for Muskingum co, 1,00; Jesse Thomas, Mt Pleasant, don. for Philanthropist 2,50; Jesse Thomas for New Book Depository, 15,00; Joseph F Garretson, don., 2,00; Lemuel Jones, Mt Pleasant, don. 5,00; Mansfield A S Soc on old pledge, 16,00; Peru, (Delaware co) A S Soc 8,00; M D Pettibone on Delaware co pledge, 1,00; Stark co A S Soc in part of pledge, 21,00; Attica, Licking co, A S Soc in full, 15,00; Monroe co A S Soc in full, 11,25; Akron A S Soc 30,00; Newtown A S Soc per H Nye, in full, 11,00; Knox co Soc, in full, 47,75; Berlin A S S, per A Pettijohn, 15,25; Tallmadge Soc, in full on pledge, 10,00, New Garden Soc, in full, 30,00; Emily Rose, in full, 5,00; Granville Soc. in full, 59,00; New Concord, in full, 25,00; Richill, Muskingum co, Soc. in full, 30,00; Salem Soc, 10,00; Washington co, in full, 5,50; Buffalo Soc, in ful, 7,00; Oberlin Soc, per Prof. Cowles, in part, 68,00; from Friends of Zanesfield Logan co 6,75; From Pittsburg for Rev J B Mahan, 15,00.

Wm. Donaldson, *Treasurer.*

FOR PHILANTHROPIST.

By L W Knowlton, Agent, for Brown and McCommon. 2,50; Moore & Lyon, 9,50; McNaghton and Graham, 2,50; James Dunlap, 2,50; Ann Cresghead, 2,50; John McShane, 2,50; Wm King, 2,50; Kirkpatrick, 2,50, which pays to whole No. 204; Artemas Day, Agent, 10,00; by E G Dyer, P M, for himself, 2,50; and J S Kellogg, 6,00; T E Thomas, 3,00; by J Lewis P M for John Heberling, sen., 3,00; by J W Brackett, P M, for J Skinner, 2,50; and L Millard, 1,00; Henry Rider, 2,00; Hosea Thomas, 1,25; by Samuel Hall, Agent, for Geo Putnam, 2,50; W S Spaulding, 62½c; and B F Arnold, 62½c, by Alonzo Bailey, Ag't, for Norman Carter, 1,00; G W Jones, 1,00; Darby and Eddy, 50cts; A W Green 50cts; J Goodrich 50cts; James Manning 2,00; Rufus J Bunce 50cts; Harvey Bliss P M 2,00; Margaret Kedzie 2,50; B H Lewis 2,50; and Jesse Dearborn 2,50; by Jos Templeton, Agent, for S Collins, 3,75, paid to No. 212; Jas Turnbull 2,50; Wm Anderson 2,50; Robt Shields 2,50; James Brown 2,50; and J C Winters 2,50; Jno O Butler 2,50; W C Myer 2,00; by John H Beard, Agent, for himself 2,50; and Thos Wiley 2,50; D Cushing 5,00; by Asa Smith, esq. Agent, for Quartus Clark 5,50; Lyman Benton 2,50; and A Canfield 2,50; Wm Butterworth 2,50; James Bayless 2,50; Wm McNeelie 2,50; H L Rosecrans, by Jos Templeton, Agent, for 2,50; and by E M Leonard for C T Colton 2,50; J K Vandoorn 2,50; E Benton 5,00, and for books 2,00; Thomas Salter 2,50; by Mr Parker for Di J Cooper 3,00; Wm Peel 2,50; D Mc Millan, Agent, for himself 2,50; and Thos Wiley 2,50; D Sherman 3,00; George Evans 2,00; Wm G Graham 3,00; Hiram Griswold 2,50; Wm Galbreath 2,50; Thos Galbreath 2,50; Joseph Cope 2,50; Curtis Grubb 1,25; Aaron Tomlinson 2,00; Joseph Russell 2,50; Thos McMellen 5,00; Amos M Evans 2,50; Joseph Linnell 2,50; Wm F Hunt 2,50; Aaron Earl, 2 cop. 5,00; Jepths Duvall 2,50; Armar McFarland 2,50; Wm Karnahan 2,50; Moses Allen, 3,00; James Allen 2,50; Jacob Hollis 2,50; Thos Sinclear 2,50; Helon Rose, esq. 2,50, pays to whole No. 218; Richard McGee 2,50; Geo Stuart 2,50; David Bixby 2,50; Joseph Hollis 2,50; Edward Vanborn 2,50; A Pettyjohn 2,50; Joseph Cope 5,00; E Martin 5,00; H McMasters 3,00; I Miller 2,50; Joshua King 3,00; A Hammond 2,50; Isaac H Chandler 2,50; James Smith 2,50; John Carey 2,50; Rev Mr Isaacs 2,50; Elizabeth Henry 2,50; Thos Hudson 2,50; Margaret Miller 2,50; David Atkinson 2,50; Jas Steele 2,50; Robt McGee 2,50; Jesse Thomas 2,50; Dr. N M Thomas, 2,50; Evan Davis 4,00; M Gillespie 2,50, paid to whole No. 208; John Jamneson 2,50; Moses Wiley 2,50; Jas Finley 2,50; Robt Wilson 2,50; Robt Artis or Ardrey 2,50; V R Kimball 2,50; Wm Bryce 2,50; Rev L Dewitt 2,50; Robt Speer 2,50; A A Smith 3,00; Prof Jno Morgan 5,00; Joseph Wylie 2,50; Greenbury Keene 2,50; Ethal Strong 2,50; T Carnahan 2,50; S H Whittlesey 2,50; John Sheppard 2,50; James Cope 2,50; Robt Banks 2,50; Jno Hunt 2,50; Ralph Porter 2,50; Hitchcock and Stone 2,50; Hugh Maxwell 2,50; Elihu Talbert 2,50; James Donnell 2,50; Geo McCoy, jr 2,50; Dani Dubois 2,00; Lewis Nichols 2,50; Lemuel Roe 2,50; Jno McClure 2,50; James Johnston 2,50; by A L Grimes, Agent, for Chas Palmer 62cts; Wm Webster 2,50; Wm Karnaghan 2,50; Messrs Coulter 2,50; and Jno Lamb 3,00; N Kirkpatrick has paid to whole No 204; E H Knowlton has paid to whole No 194; by Jas Shedd, Agent, for Dr Jno Moore 2,50; J B Cox 2,50; Mrs Atkins 2,50; Esq. Brown 2,50; J H Robbins 2,50; and J H Wareham 2,50; Robt Irwin 2,50; By Alonzo Bailey, Agent, for Hastings and Spencer 1,25; Brooks and Hawk 50cts; Edwin Hall 2,50; Adam Jones 1,00; Sprietsant and Beach 3,50; Mooney and Tracy 2,50; Wadsworth and Foot 2,50; Johns and D'Wolf 2,50; and Hosea Wilder 50cts, by J A Morton, Agent, for Rev. H S Fullerton 2,50; Geo Brown 2,00; Isaac Evans 2,50; and Asa A Morton 2,50, Judge Dunlavy 2,00; Elias C Williams 3,00.

James Boyle, *Publishing Agent.*

Cincinnati Canal Market.

Cincinnati, June, 18, 1839.

Flour, (from boats)	$5 05
do "City Mills,	5 50
Corn, "	56
Oats,	30
Corn Meal,	87
Wheat, per bushel,	90
Butter, fresh,	25 cts.
Lard	10 a 11
Pork.—Clear is held at $520 1/2 a 5 25. Mess 20. Ham	11 a 11 1/2

POETRY.

From the Emancipator.

"Will our brethren pray for the abolitionists of Ohio?"—*Vid. Eman. May* 16.

For what shall we pray?—that the brave and the good
May help the man-thief in the shedding of blood?
He is hotly pursuing, with warrant in hand,
His slave, thro' the length and the breadth of the land.
The law makes it duty (!)—the law of the State—
To rivet with iron the prisoner's fate:
Shall we pray that the law may be firmly upheld,
And pity from brethren be sternly withheld?
Shall we pray that the gushing of sympathy's tide
May be stayed by the voice of oppression and pride?
When Christ, with his chosen, on Galilee's wave
Was tost'd; they besought him, Almighty to save;
"O save us; we perish:" he uttered the word,
And the sea became calm at the voice of the Lord.
But will he command—he—the merciful Gone,
That the heart of His Zion shall harden to stone?
Will He say to the swellings of sympathy, ever,
"Be still;"—will the Saviour command it?—no, never.
He will not shut up the deep springs of the soul;
He will not the rising of pity control,
And make them obey inhumanity's laws,
That consign the scaped victim to slavery's jaws.
We never can ask it; God never can give
To the huge, horrid monster so long a reprieve.
If we know how to pray—if, all sprinkled with blood,
We have, trembling, approached to the footstool of God—
If with heart broke with yearning for brothers so dear,
Our eye on that footstool has drooped the warm tear—
If the Spirit will help us with "groanings" that come,
All alive and all warm, from the heart's inner room—
If God will assist us, be this then our prayer,
Ascending on high to be registered there.

O, thou, who art the Friend of the oppressed;
Almighty to deliver, ever blest;
Whose eye like lightning thro' the earth doth run,
Viewing what e'er iniquity is done;
Look thou upon that wide but coward State,
And on those million freemen, who of late
Bowed down and pledged themselves, with law unjust,
To violate a freeman's sacred trust—
To catch and bind the captive, and deliver
Body and soul to slavery forever.
O shall the line that borders tyranny,
Be sentinel'd by white men and by free,
To make the trembling fugitive return
Where hopeless thousands still in bondage mourn.
O, shall Ohio's pure and noble waters
Keep back poor Africa's sold and ravished daughters?
God of the poor, thy people now inspire
With heavenly Freedom's bright and living fire.
And when time outcasts from oppression come
To seek with stranger friends a midnight home;
O let them find, what most their mis'ries need.
The friends of freedom; such are friends indeed.
O let no fugitive, for cursed gold,
Back to his house of bondage e'er be sold.
May tyrants seek their goods, but seek in vain,
And let their search produce them only pain;
And when the year has run its destined round,
May men within that judgment-hall be found,
Whose wisdom shall the odious statute blot;
And may that crime of freemen be forgot.

Thus, brethren, we pray, and our prayer shall ascend
Till slavery meet "a perpetual end."

Go on, then; secrete him who comes to your door—
God's fugitive, wretched and famishing poor—
Give a cup of cold water to slacken his thirst
And wipe from his visage the blood and the dust.
Set the table, and set on the wayfarer's food;
Set forth the choice viands refreshing and good;
And shelter the guest till the light of the morning,
Then haste to his bedside and give him the warning;
"Up, stranger, refresh you, and quickly begone;"
Nor send him off empty, but lend him the "roan."
Heaven settles the charges; the basket and store
God remembers, if thou wilt remember the poor.

So. Deerfield. Mass. May 20.

R. M. W.

MISCELLANEOUS.

SCENES IN THE FAR SOUTH.

The following items we clip from a single number of the Jeffersonsville (Ia.) Journal. Well does the Leeds Mercury, an English paper, remark in relation to similar cases of violence,—"they are facts of such a character as will daringly violate the will of God in their relations with their fellowmen, whom they seek to depress to brutes, they may expect to be abandoned to the violence of the unrestrained passions of each other."

LYNCH LAW TRIUMPHANT IN MISSISSIPPI.—At a late term of the Circuit Court for Copiah county, Miss, a man by the name of Alva Carpenter, was put on his trial for murder. "The case had been submitted to a jury of his county, and a verdict rendered against him of manslaughter. As the officers of the Court were about removing the prisoner, a tumult arose, the lights were extinguished, Carpenter was stabbed several times, and actually killed outright in the hall of the Court. It is supposed that the bloody minded, savage murderers, were the relatives of the individual, for whose death Carpenter had been put on trial. The New Orleans Bee well puts the question, 'Is Mississippi degenerating into barbarism?'—Times are, indeed, sadly out of joint, when such scenes can possibly occur. Lynch law, arson, murder on the highways, or even in our Legislative Assemblies, all sink into utter insignificance, when compared with this brutal attack, not so much upon the life of a prisoner, as upon the dearest and most sacred institutions of the country. Men enacting such schemes would sell their wife, children, country, and hopes of heaven. They are fit companions alone for demi-devils!"

The New Orleans Courier of a late date, says, that "there are signs of feeling, not the most happy, among the different classes which compose the population of this city. Some time since, the father of a family was struck dead by a blow from a knife or dirk in the hands of a notorious frequenter of dram shops. The trial of the latter came off yesterday; when hundreds of persons assembled round the court-room, who did not scruple to express their wishes that the criminal (for such he has been pronounced by a jury) might escape the vengeance of the law. It is currently reported, moreover, that a very handsome purse was made up by subscription to fee counsel for his defence; and that a respectable lady of this city subscribed $150. We are at a loss for terms to qualify that condition of part of this community, which a knowledge of these facts will lead one to believe actually exists."

MURDER.—The Huntsville Southern Advocate says, that "a man was found dead four miles from Brown's Ferry, on the road leading from the Ferry to Athens, on the 8th ult. It was supposed his death was occasioned by a fire from a gun charged with buckshot, 22 taking effect in his back; he appeared to be about 35 years of age; and from papers found about him, his name is thought to have been JOHN P. WHITE, of Winston county, Miss., and travelling to North Carolina. It appeared as if he was shot from his horse and dragged about 40 yards into the bushes and robbed of all the money that could be found on him; but the murderers missed the principal booty, for, on examining his clothes the sum of $550 in Alabama money was found sewed up in the waistband of his pantaloons. One more was found hitched agraph, taken from the Pulaski (Tenn.) Courier, of the 17th inst., that

"One of the perpetrators of the above mentioned murder has been apprehended near Lebanon and and taken back to that place. He and his accomplice had separated but a short time when he was overtaken by a party of gentlemen from Alabama in pursuit of them. As they were to have met at Lebanon, it is presumed the other has also been taken."

ANOTHER MURDER IN MISSISSIPPI.—We learn from the Natchez Courier of the 20th ult., "that Mr. Leigh, son of B. Watkins Leigh, of Richmond, Va., was shot in Woodville, in a street rencounter, in the early part of last week by a Mr. Davis—Leigh died in two days. The matter arose out of a duel which was to have been fought between Mr. Leigh and Mr. Norris, editor of the Republican, and a general quarrel ensued between some friends of the parties, which occurred in the street whereiu Leigh received some injury from Davis. Davis has been bailed in the sum of $15,000."

We learn from the Louisville Journal, that "George W. Horton was shot by George C. Taylor, near Columbus, Mississippi, a few days ago. Horton went to the house of Taylor for the purpose of chastising him with a loaded horse whip, and, though T. endeavored to persuade him off, and, though T. endeavored to persuade him off, continued to advance with an uplifted arm until the price of a slave,' he was ordered to be *silent*, as it was nothing more nor less than a piece of abolition.

DEATH OF MR. MERRITT.—We learn that Mr. Leggett, late editor of the Plaindealer, is dead.—He had long been ill with the liver complaint, and finally sunk under it.

ADVERTISEMENTS.

CONSUMPTION, ASTHMA AND WHOOPING COUGH CURED.

That coughs, colds, asthma, and spitting of blood should in no ease be neglected, is familiarly known to every one.—Why is it? Is it not that many with a predisposition to diseases of the lungs, when they begin to cough consider it but a slight cold, postpone taking efficient means until the disease becomes confirmed, and too late, discover their error. That all do not thus, may be learned by the following letter from the Rev. Prof. *Stowe*, of Lane Seminary.

Doctor Peck:

SIR—My family is constitutionally pre-disposed to irritation of the throat and lungs, and occasional attacks of severe coughing. In a recent violent attack of the kind, in which myself and two children were the sufferers, the latter with *Whooping Cough*, I found the Watasia a speedy and effectual remedy.

C. E. STOWE.

Cincinnati, November 1, 1838.

The proprietor is receiving almost daily proof of the superior efficacy of the Watasia in all diseases of the lungs.

For sale, at 4 doors above Front.

Feb. 15, 94tf. PECK & CO.

ON LOW SPIRITS.

Low spirits is a certain state of the mind accompanied by indigestion, wherein the greatest evils are apprehended upon the slightest grounds, and the worst consequences imagined. Ancient medical writers supposed this disease to be confined to those particular regions of the abdomen, which are usually called Hypochondria which are situated on the right or left side of that cavity, whence comes the name hypochondriasis.

Symptoms.—The common corporeal symptoms are flatluency in the stomach or bowels, acrid eructations, costiveness, spasmodic pains and often an utter inability of fixing the attention upon any subject of importance or engaging in any thing that demands vigour or courage. Also languidness—the mind becomes irritable, thoughtful, solicitous and timid, is easily and dejected, accompanied by a total derangement of the nervous system. The mental feelings and peculiar train of ideas that haunt the imagination and overwhelm the judgement, exhibit an infinite diversity. The weakness and best of men are as open to this affliction as the weakest.

Causes.—A sedentary life of any kind especially severe study, protracted to a late hour in the night, and rarely relieved by social intercourse or exercise, a dissolute habit, great excess in eating or drinking, immoderate use of mercury, violent purgatives, the suppression of some habitual discharge, (as the obstruction of the menses) or more important organs in the abdomen, are the usual causes.

Treatment.—The principal objects of treatment are, to remove indigestion, strengthen the body, and enliven the spirits, which may be promoted by exercise early hours, regular meals, pleasant conversation—the bowels kept soluble and regular carefully regulated by the occasional use of a mild aperient. We know nothing better adapted to obtain this end, than Dr. Wm. Evans' Aperient Pills—being mild and certain in their operation. The bowels being regulated and assisted by the inestimable Camomile Pills—(which are tonic, anolyne, and anti-spasmodic) are an infallible remedy, and without dispute have proved a great blessing to the numerous public.

Some physicians have recommended a free use of mercury, but it should not be resorted to: in many cases it greatly aggravates the symptoms.

Dr. Wm. Evans, Camomile and Family Aperient Pills for sale by his several agents throughout the Union. See list of agents in this paper.

HIGHLY IMPORTANT.

Nervous diseases, liver complaint, dyspepsia, billious diseases, piles, consumption, coughs, colds, spitting of blood, pain in the side, shoulders, female weakness, mercurial diseases, and all hypochondriacism, low spirits, palpitation of the heart, nervous weakness, flour albus; seminal weakness, indigestion, loss of appetite, hoarseness, general debility, hysterical weakness, chlorosis or green sickness, flatulency, hysterical faintings, hysterics, headaches; hiccup, sickness, night mare, rheumatism, asthma, tic doulouroux, cramp, spasmodic affections, and those who are victims to unmanly secret and wasting disorder. Geo. will find relief from their sufferings by a course of Dr. Wm. Evans medicine.

Also, nausea, vomiting, pains in the side, limbs, head, stomach or back, dimness or confusion of sight, noises in the inside, a thereat flushes of heat and yellowness, tremors, wakefulness, agitation, anxiety, bad dreams, spasms.

Principal Office 100 Chatham street, New York.

THE FOLLOWING

Interesting and astonishing facts, are amongst the numerous Cures performed by the use of Dr. Wm. Evans' Medicines.

Principal Office, 100 Catham street, New York, where the Dr. may be consulted personally or by letter (post paid) from any part of the United States, &c. Persons requiring medicines and advice, must enclose a bank note, or order,

CERTIFICATES.

More Conclusive proofs of the extraordinary efficacy of Dr. Wm. Evans' celebrated Camomile and Aperient Pills in alleviating afflicted mankind.—Mr. Robert Cameron, 101 Bowery. Disease, Chronic Dysentery, or Bloody Flux. Symptoms, unusual flatulency in the bowels severe griping, frequent inclination to go to stool, tenesmus, loss of appetite, nausea, vomiting, frequency of pulse, and a frequent discharge of a peculiarly foetid matter, mixed with blood, great debility, sense of burning heat, with an intolerable bearing down of the parts. Mr. Cameron is enjoying perfect health, and returned his sincere thanks for the extraordinary benefit he had received.

☞ ASTHMA. *Three years standing.*—Mr. Robert Monroe, Schoylkill, afflicted with the above distressing malady. Symptoms, great languor, flatulency, disturbed rest, nervous headache, difficulty of breathing, tightness and stricture across the breast, dizziness, nervous irritability and restlessness, could not lie in a horizontal position without the sensation of impending suffocation, palpitation of the heart, distressing cough, costiveness, pain of the stomach; drowsiness, great debility and deficiency of the nervous energy. Mr. R. Monroe gave up every thought of recovery, and dire despair set on the countenance of every person interested in his existence or happiness, till by accident he noticed in a public paper some cures effected by Dr. Wm. Evans' Medicine in his complaint, which induced him to purchase a package of the pills which resulted in completely removing every symptom of his disease. He wishes to say his motive for this declaration is, that those afflicted with the same or any symptoms similar to those from which he is happily restored, may likewise receive the same inestimable benefit.

Liver Complaint—Ten years standing.—Mrs Hannah Browne, wife of Joseph Browne, North Sixth street near Second street, Williamsburg, afflicted for the last ten years with Liver Complaint restored to health through the treatment of Dr. Wm. Evans. Symptoms: Habitual constipation of the bowels, total loss of appetite, excruciating pain of the epigastric region, great depression of spirits, languor and other symptoms of extreme debility, disturbed sleep, and inordinate flow of the menses, pain in the right side, could not lay on her left side without an aggravation of the pain, urine high colored, with other symptoms indicating great derangement of the functions of the liver.

Mrs. Browne was attended by three of the first physicians, but received no relief from their medicine, till Mrs. Browne procured some of Dr. Wm. Evans invaluable preparations, which effectually relieved her of the above distressing complaints, with others, which it is not essential to insert.

JOSEPH BROWNE.

City and County of New York, SS.

Joseph Browne, Williamsburgh, Long Island, being duly sworn doth depose and say that the facts set forth in the within statement, to which he has subscribed his name, are just and true.

JOSEPH BROWNE.

Husband of Hannah Browne.

Sworn before me this 4th day of January, 1837.

PETER PINCKNEY, Com. of Deeds.

☞ Another recent test of the unrivalled virtues of Dr. Wm. Evans' Medicines,—*Dyspepsia, ten years standing.* Mr. J. M. Kenzie, 176 Stanton street was afflicted with the above complaint for ten years, which incapacitated him at intervals, for the period of six years, in attending to his business, restored to perfect health under the salutary treatment of Dr. Wm. Evans.

The symptoms were, a sense of distension and oppression after eating, pain in the pit of the stomach, nausea, impaired appetite, giddiness, palpitation of the heart, great debility and emaciation, depression of spirits, disturbed rest, sometimes a billious vomiting and pain in the right side, an extreme degree of languor and faintness; any endeavor to pursue his business causing immediate exhaustion and weariness.

Mr. McKenzie is daily attending to his business, and none of the above symptoms have recurred since he used the medicine. He is now a strong and healthy man. The cure was effected in health by the use of these all ineffectual. resorted to myriads of remedies, but they were all ineffectual. He is willing to give any information to the afflicted respecting the benefit rendered to him by the use of Dr. Wm. Evans' medicine.

An extraordinary and remarkable cure.—Mrs. Mary Dillon, Williamsburgh, corner of Fourth and North streets, completely restored to health by the treatment of Dr. Wm. Evans, 100 Chatham street.

The symptoms of the distressing case were as follows: Total loss of appetite palpitation of the heart, twiching of the tendons, with a general spasmodic affection of the muscles, difficulty of breathing, giddiness, languor, lassitude great depression of spirits, with a fixed gloomy impression of future misfortune, pain in the pit of the stomach, irregular, intermittent pains in different parts, great emaciation, with other symptoms of extreme debility.

The above case was pronounced hopeless by three of the most eminent physicians, and the dissolution of the patient was hourly expected, who were in attendance, She has awaited by her friends, who were in attendance, She has given her cheerful permission to publish the above facts, and will also gladly give any information to any inquiring mind.

MARY DILLON.

Dyspepsia and Hypocondriacism.—Interesting case.—Mr. William Salmen, Green street, above Third, Philadelphia, afflicted for several years with the above distressing symptoms. Sickness at the stomach, headache, dizziness, palpitation of the heart, impaired appetite and weakness, palpitation of the heart, impaired appetite and weakness of the extremeties, emancipation and general debility, disturbed rest, a sense of pressure and weight at the stomach after eating, nightmare, great mental despondency, severe flying pains, in the chest back and sides, costiveness, a severe flying pains, in the chest back and sides, costiveness, a severe flying pains, in the chest back and sides, costiveness, a